1	MULCAHY LLP		
	James M. Mulcahy (SBN 213547)		
2	jmulcahy@mulcahyllp.com		
3	Kevin A. Adams (SBN 239171)		
4	kadams@mulcahyllp.com		
	Douglas R. Luther (SBN 280550)		
5	dluther@mulcahyllp.com		
6	Four Park Plaza, Suite 1230		
7	Irvine, California 92614		
	Telephone: (949) 252-9377 Facsimile: (949) 252-0090		
8	Facsimile. (949) 232-0090		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defe	ndants	
10			
11	UNITED STATES I		
12	CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF CALIFOR	RNIA
13	BENNION & DEVILLE FINE	Case No. 5:15-	CV-01921 R (KKx)
14	HOMES, INC., a California	Hon. Manual L	. Real
15	corporation, BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES SOCAL, INC., a	PLAINTIFFS	AND COUNTER-
16	California corporation, WINDERMERE		S' EXPERT WITNESS
	SERVICES SOUTHERN		E PURSUANT TO
17	CALIFORNIA, INC., a California	RULE 26 OF	THE FEDERAL
18	corporation,	RULES OF C	IVIL PROCEDURE
19	Plaintiffs,	Courtroom:	8
20	v.	Action Filed:	September 17, 2015
21	<b>v</b> .	Pretrial Conf.:	-
	WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE	Trial:	October 18, 2016
22	SERVICES COMPANY, a Washington		
23	corporation; and DOES 1-10		
24	Defendant.		
25			
26	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS		
27			
28			

# TO DEFENDANT WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SERVICES COMPANY AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants Bennion & Deville Fine Homes, Inc. ("B&D Fine Homes"), Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal, Inc. ("B&D SoCal"), Windermere Services Southern California, Inc. ("Services SoCal"), (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and Counter-Defendants Robert L. Bennion and Joseph R. Deville (all collectively referred to as the "B&D Parties" herein), by and through its undersigned attorneys, and pursuant to Rule 26(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and stipulation of the parties, hereby provides the following Expert Witness Disclosure:

- 1. The B&D Parties have retained Peter Wrobel ("Wrobel") and his company, Berkeley Research Group, LLC, as persons who may be used a trial to present evidence under Rules 702, 703 or 705 of the Federal Rules of Evidence. A written report (and supporting materials) prepared by Wrobel and Berkeley Research Group, LLC, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B), is attached hereto, marked as "Exhibit A," and incorporated herein by reference.
- 2. The B&D Parties also retain the right to utilize the testimony of any expert retained by Defendant Windermere Real Estate Services Company.
- 3. The B&D Parties expressly reserves the right to identify other experts as a supplement to these disclosures if discovery continues and/or as additional individuals, documents or information are identified or obtained which are likely to lead to possess or contain discoverable information, or as parties identify other experts.
- 4. This disclosure is based upon information and facts now available from The B&D Parties' understanding of the issues, contentions and arguments The B&D Parties intend to assert at the time of trial of this matter. This disclosure is without prejudice to experts, facts, issues, and contentions subsequently learned or discovered.

5. The B&D Parties shall supplement these disclosures when and as required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

DATED: September 16, 2016 MULCAHY LLP

By: /s/ James M. Mulcahy
James M. Mulcahy
Kevin A. Adams
Attorneys for Plaintiffs/CounterDefendants Bennion & Deville Fine
Homes, Inc., Bennion & Deville Fine
Homes SoCal, Inc., Windermere
Services Southern California, Inc.,
and Counter-Defendants Robert L.
Bennion and Joseph R. Deville



Peter D. Wrobel, CPA/ABV, CFE Managing Director

550 South Hope Street Suite 2150 Los Angeles, CA 90071 pwrobel@thinkbrg.com Telephone: 213.261.7707 Fax: 213.622.0390

September 16, 2016

James M. Mulcahy, Esquire Kevin A. Adams, Esquire Mulcahy LLP Four Park Plaza, Suite 1230 Irvine, California 92614

Re: Bennion & Deville Fine Homes, Inc., et al. v. Windermere Real

Estate Services Company
United States District Court
Central District of California
Case 5:15-cv-0192-R-KK

Dear Messrs. Mulcahy and Adams:

I was engaged on behalf of Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants Bennion & Deville Fine Homes, Inc. ("BD Fine"), Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal, Inc. ("BD SoCal") and Windermere Services Southern California, Inc. ("WSSC") in the above-referenced matter. I have been asked to calculate the amount of out-of-pocket damages, if any, suffered by these entities as a result of the certain alleged activities at issue in this matter. All work was or will be performed by me or by other employees of BRG LLC working at my direction. I have been requested to provide expert testimony regarding my opinions and prepare this report in accordance with FRCP Rule 26.

Letter to Messrs. Mulcahy and Adams September 16, 2016 Page 2

### I. EXPERT OPINIONS

WSSC and BD SoCal have or will suffer at least \$4,237,000 in damages. See Schedule 1.

Damages consist of the following:

1	Net Value of WSSC as of January 2015	\$2,592,526
2	Settlement Amounts Improperly Withheld from WSSC	66,037
3	Past Losses and Future Lease Obligations – BD SoCal	1,431,482
4	Net Unreimbursed Windermere Watch Expenses	146,954
	Total	\$4,237,999

### Net Value of WSSC as of January 2015

WSSC was an Area Representative of the Defendant Windermere Real Estate Services Company ("WSC"). BD SoCal and BD Fine were franchisees of WSC under the WSSC Area Representative umbrella. It is my understanding that WSC effectuated a constructive termination of the area representation relationship with WSSC by late summer 2014, and later provided WSSC a formal notice of termination in January 2015. In either event, it is my further understanding that the termination of the area representation relationship was without cause. This termination triggered a clause in the May 1, 2004 Agreement between WSC and WSSC which provided for the terminating party to pay the terminated party "an amount equal to the fair market value of the Terminated Party's interest in the Agreement." The value of WSSC, net of any subsequent earned income in 2015 was \$2,889,299. See Schedule 2A. The value was determined by discounting future cash flows expected to be generated from WSSC for the years 2015 through 2019 and then capitalizing a terminal value for WSSC as of December 31, 2020. This value was then adjusted for the cash flows earned by WSSC in 2015. The 2015 through 2019 cash flows were adjusted as a result of WSSC's inability to open three additional franchises in 2014 due to the failure of WSC to properly register its Southern California Franchise Disclosure Document with the California Department of Business Oversight. The operating cash flow generated by WSSC in 2014 was \$379,079. This amount was adjusted to \$413,486 to reflect the expected income to be generated by the additional franchisees.<sup>2</sup> These adjustments to WSSC's profit and loss statements are shown on Schedule 2B.

The value of WSSC is also consistent with contemporaneous valuations and offers to purchase WSSC, BD SoCal and BD Fine that were performed or made in 2014 and 2015. For example, CPA Gregory Barton calculated a value for WSSC of approximately \$3,200,000 as of August

The discount rate used is 18% and the capitalization rate is 16%. These rates, as well as growth rates and the general methodology utilized are also consistent with a contemporaneous valuation of BD Fine and BD SoCal that was performed in September 2014 by the Mentor Group.

In addition, the failure to open the three additional franchises resulted in the loss of half of the initial franchise fee, or \$37,500,

Letter to Messrs. Mulcahy and Adams September 16, 2016 Page 3

2015.<sup>3</sup> In addition, WSC attempted to purchase WSSC, BD SoCal and BD Fine in July 2015 for approximately \$12,700,000. Also, the Mentor Group valued BD SoCal and BD Fine (excluding WSSC) for \$9,800,000 in September 2014. Separately, Vincent and Nicholas Gattuso made an \$11 million cash offer for BD SoCal and BD Fine (excluding WSSC) in August 2015. Subtracting these amounts from WSC's offer of \$12,500,000 implies a value of WSSC of \$1,500,000 or \$2,700,000. See Schedule 2C.

### Settlement Amounts Improperly Withheld from WSSC

It is my understanding that WSC has improperly withheld WSSC's interest in settlements related to three franchisees no longer in operation. The Browne settlement was obtained in Bankruptcy Court and WSSC's interest was \$8,469. King and Kirksey have been making payments to WSC. The present value of WSSC's interest in the King and Kirksey payments are \$16,690 and \$40,878, respectively. See Schedules 3 and 4.

### Past Losses and Future Lease Obligations – BD SoCal

It is my understanding that WSC induced WSSC to open two offices in the San Diego area – Encinitas and Little Italy. These offices have never been profitable and are expected to continue to lose money in the future. Damages consist of lost income through 2016 and the present value of their lease obligations through the end of their lease period.<sup>4</sup> See Schedules 5, 6 and 7.

### Net Unreimbursed Windermere Watch Expenses

It is my understanding that WSC has not fully reimbursed WSSC for expenses associated with WSSC's efforts to remedy the Windermere brand damage occasioned by the "Windermere Watch" websites from 2013 through 2015. The net amount of unreimbursed Windermere Watch expenses are \$146,954 and are summarized on Schedule 8.

### II. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED

A list of the documents I have considered in this matter is attached as Exhibit A.

Mr. Barton's analysis of WSSC excluded franchisee fee revenue for franchises owned by Bennion and Deville companies. Mr. Barton subsequently restated WSSC profit and loss statements to include this source of revenue. These recasted profit and loss statements are summarized on Schedule 2B and Mr. Barton's recasted valuation conclusion (using the recast data) is summarized on Schedule 2D.

The two offices are expected to continue to lose money in the future. The amount of these losses (which are projected and shown on Schedule 6) are expected to exceed their lease obligations (shown on Schedule 7). Accordingly, BD SoCal could mitigate their losses by closing both offices and continuing to make payments to their landlords.

Letter to Messrs. Mulcahy and Adams September 16, 2016 Page 4

### III. QUALIFICATIONS OF PETER D. WROBEL, CPA/ABV, CFE

I am a Managing Director with Berkeley Research Group. A current copy of my resume is attached as Exhibit B. I have not written any publications in the last ten years.

### IV. COMPENSATION

My hourly billing rate for deposition testimony is \$595 per hour.

### V. PRIOR EXPERT TESTIMONY

A listing of all cases in which I have testified as an expert at trial or in deposition within the preceding four years is attached as Exhibit C.

This report presents my opinions. It is my understanding that I may receive additional information. The opinions in this report are subject to modification based on additional facts that may surface from now through trial. This report is prepared and issued to counsel for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants solely for use in the above-referenced matter in connection with the representation of the Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants.

Very truly yours,

Peter D. Wrobel, CPA/ABV, CFE

Managing Director

PDW:com

### Windermere Services Southern California ("WSSC") Bennion & DeVille Fine Homes SoCal, Inc. ("BD SoCal")

### Summary of Damages and Valuation of WSSC

Net Value of WSSC as of January 2015		\$2,592,526
Settlement Amounts Improperly Withheld from WSSC		
King Settlement	\$16,690	
Kirksey Settlement	40,878	
Browne Settlement	8,469	
Subtotal		66,037
Past Losses and Future Lease Obligations - BD SoCal		
Encintas Office	724,375	
Little Italy Office	707,107	
Subtotal		1,431,482
Net Unreimbursed Windermere Watch Expenses		146,954
Total Damages and Value of WSSC		\$4,236,999

### Windermere Services Southern California ("WSSC")

### Discounted Operating Cash Flows Valuation as of January 2015

Date	Annual Operating Cash Flow	Initial Franchise Fees	Annual Operating Cash Flow + Franchise Fees	Present Value of Annual Operating Cash Flow + Franchise Fees	Terminal Cash Flow	Present Value of Terminal Cash Flow	Value of WSSC
12/31/15	\$413,486	\$37,500	\$450,986	\$415,166			
12/31/13	434,160	\$37,300 0	434,160	338,709			
12/31/10	455,868	0	455,868	301,394			
12/31/17	478,661	ŏ	478,661	268,189			
12/31/19	502,594	0	502,594	238,643			
12/31/20	527,724	0	527,724	-	\$3,298,275 _	\$1,327,198	
			=	\$1,562,101	. ===	\$1,327,198	
	Value of WSSC						\$2,889,299
	Less: Net Income	2015					(296,773)
	Damages						\$2,592,526

## Windermere Services Southern California ("WSSC") Profit and Loss Statements (Recasted) For the Years Ended December 31,

Number of Branch Offices  Revenue Growth	30		33			
n			-3,4%		0.5%	
Revenue						
B&D Fine Homes CV & Coast Franchise Fee	\$390,000	78.7%	\$365,000	76.3%	\$270,000	56.2%
Third Party Revenue	105,260	21.3%	113,213	23.7%	210,756	43.8%
	495,260	100.0%	478,213	100.0%	480,756	100.0%
Expenses						
Advertising	2,289	0.5%	(115)	0.0%	(240)	0.0%
Bank Charges	351	0.1%	300	0.1%	300	0.1%
Business Taxes		0.0%	800	0.2%	1,161	0.2%
Dues and Subscriptions	260	0.1%	50	0.0%	50	0.0%
Legal and Professional Fees	14,533	2.9%	12,304	2.6%	88,211	18.3%
Meals and Entertainment	•	0.0%	370	0.1%	5,832	1.2%
Miscellaneous	597	0.1%	372	0.1%	43	0.0%
Office Salaries	52,650	10.6%	52,650	11.0%	52,650	11.0%
Office Expense		0.0%	123	0.0%	4	0.0%
Payroll Taxes	5,358	1.1%	5,358	1.1%	5,358	1.1%
Payroll Service Fees	2,634	0.5%	682	0.1%	682	0.1%
Postage	797	0.2%	947	0.2%	868	0.2%
Rent	14,953	3.0%	14,953	3.1%	14,953	3.1%
Telephone	4,512	0.9%	4,905	1.0%	3,184	0.7%
Travel	2,574	0.5%	5,462	1.1%	10,662	2.2%
Vehicle Expenses		0.0%	23	0.0%		0.0%
Subtotal	101,508	20.5%	99,184	20.7%	183,718	38.2%
Income from Operations	393,752	79.5%	379,029	79.3%	297,038	61.8%
Other Income (Expenses)						
Owners' salaries & payroll tax	(129,180)	-26.1%	(20,000)	-4.2%		0.0%
Depreciation & Amortization	(2,295)	-0.5%	(266)	-0.1%	(265)	-0.1%
Interest Income	30,095	6.1%		0.0%		0.0%
Interest Expense		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%
Subtotal	(101,380)	-20.5%	(20,266)	-4.2%	(265)	-0.1%
Net Income (Loss)	\$292,372	59.0%	\$358,763	75.0%	\$296,773	61.7%

Operating Cash Flow		\$379,029
Average Annual Opeating Cash Flow per Branch Office	\$11,486	
WSSC share of Initial Franchise Fee [(\$25,000 * 3) /2] \$	37,500	
Incease in Annual Operating Cash Flow with Three Additional	Branch Offices	34,457
Adjusted Operating Cash Flow		\$413,486

2014	478,213
January through July 2015	280,44
Total / Numerator	758,654
Denominator	1.58

# Windermere Services Southern California ("WSSC") Bennion & Deville Fine Homes, Inc. ("BD Fine") Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal, Inc. ("BD SoCal") Alternative Contemporaneous Valuations and Purchase Offers 2014 and 2015

#### Value of WSSC

"Potential WSSC Franchise Fce Valuation" - Recasted Values (August 2015) \$3,243,662 Value of WSSC, BD SoCal and BD Fine "Letter of Intent" from Jill Jacobi Wood (July 2015) \$7,903,502 Cash Assumption of Liabilities \$230,530 Coast Note 219,701 Coachella Valley Note 646,267 Aggregate Franchise Fees 1,096,498 Discounted Note Payable (discounted at 10%) 8/15/2016 900,000 814,188 900,000 740,220 8/15/2017 8/15/2018 900,000 672,971 8/15/2019 1,400,000 951,738 Discounted Salaries (discounted at 10%) 3,179,117 100,000 2016 91,534 2017 100,000 83,218 2018 100,000 75,658 68,784 2019 100,000 319,194 \$12,498,311 [A] Value of WSSC, BD SoCal and BD Fine Value of BD Fine and BD SoCal 9,800,000 [B] Mentor Group (September 2014) Implied Value of WSSC [A] - [B] \$2,698,311 Gottuso Offer (August 2015) 11,000,000 [C]

Implied Value of WSSC [A] - [C]

\$1,498,311

### Windermere Services Southern California ("WSSC") Alternative Contemporaneous Valuations

# "Potential WSSC Franchise Fee Valuation" Prepared by Greg Barton, CPA - September 2015 Adjusted to Reflect Recasted Profit and Loss Statements

-	Barton	Recasted
WSSC Avg Annual Net Revenue (2014 & 2015 through July)	\$137,319	\$479,150
Historical Growth Rate	7.32%	7.32%
Discount Rate	18.00%	18.00%
Total Future Earnings	1,926,020	6,720,366
Present Value of Today's Earnings	929,611	3,243,662
Potential Business Value based on Assumptions above:	\$929,611	\$3,243,662

	Barton Original			
	Future	Discounted		
Year	Earnings	Value		
ı	\$137,319	\$137,319		
2	147,368	124,888		
3	158,152	113,582		
4	169,725	103,300		
5	182,146	93,949		
6	195,475	85,444		
7	209,779	77,709		
8	225,131	70,674		
9	241,605	64,276		
10	259,285	58,457		
	\$1,925,985	\$929,599		
	35	12		
	\$1,926,020	\$929,611		
1		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		

With Recasted Data				
Future	Discounted			
Earnings	Value			
\$479,150	\$479,150			
514,213	435,774			
551,842	396,324			
592,225	360,446			
635,563	327,816			
682,072	298,140			
731,985	271,150			
785,551	246,604			
843,036	224,280			
904,728	203,976			
\$6,720,366	\$3,243,662			
\$6,720,366	\$3,243,662			
,	,,			

Rounding

### Windermere Services Southern California ("WSSC")

### Amounts Owed to WSSC From King Settlement 2015 - 2019

			Present
Period	Period		Value of
Start	End	Payment	Payment
		•	
11/15/15	08/31/16	\$4,332	\$4,332
09/01/16	09/30/16	1,083	1,083
10/01/16	10/31/16	1,083	1,083
11/01/16	11/30/16	1,083	1,083
12/01/16	12/31/16	1,083	1,083
01/01/17	01/31/17	1,083	1,075
02/01/17	02/28/17	1,083	1,059
03/01/17	03/31/17	1,083	1,044
04/01/17	04/30/17	1,083	1,028
05/01/17	05/31/17	1,083	1,012
06/01/17	06/30/17	1,083	997
07/01/17	07/31/17	1,083	982
08/01/17	08/31/17	1,083	967
09/01/17	09/30/17	1,083	952
10/01/17	10/31/17	1,083	938
11/01/17	11/30/17	1,083	924
12/01/17	12/31/17	1,083	910
01/01/18	01/31/18	1,083	896
02/01/18	02/28/18	1,083	883
03/01/18	03/31/18	1,083	870
04/01/18	04/30/18	1,083	857
05/01/18	05/31/18	1,083	844
06/01/18	06/30/18	1,083	831
07/01/18	07/31/18	1,083	818
08/01/18	08/31/18	1,083	806
09/01/18	09/30/18	1,083	794
10/01/18	10/31/18	1,083	782
11/01/18	11/30/18	1,083	770
12/01/18	12/31/18	1,083	758
01/01/19	01/31/19	1,083	747
02/01/19	02/28/19	1,083	736
03/01/19	03/31/19	1,083	725
04/01/19	04/30/19	1,083	714
		\$38,987	33,381
Percentage of Settle	ment Payment	s to WSSC:	50%
,	Amounts Owed	I to WSSC:	\$16,690

#### Windermere Services Southern California ("WSSC")

### Amounts Owed to WSSC From Kirksey Settlement 2015 - 2020

ī	Period	Period		Present Value of
	Start	End	Payment	Payment
11/	09/15	08/31/16	\$14,187	\$14,187
	01/16	09/30/16	1,773	1,773
	01/16	10/31/16	1,773	1,773
11/	01/16	11/30/16	1,773	1,773
12/	01/16	12/31/16	1,773	1,773
01/	01/17	01/31/17	1,773	1,760
02/	01/17	02/28/17	1,773	1,734
03/	01/17	03/31/17	1,773	1,709
04/	01/17	04/30/17	1,773	1,683
05/	01/17	05/31/17	1,773	1,658
06/	01/17	06/30/17	1,773	1,633
07/	01/17	07/31/17	1,773	1,608
	01/17	08/31/17	1,773	1,583
	01/17	09/30/17	1,773	1,559
	01/17	10/31/17	1,773	1,536
	01/17	11/30/17	1,773	1,513
	01/17	12/31/17	1,773	1,490
	01/18	01/31/18	1,773	1,467
	01/18	02/28/18	1,773	1,445
	01/18	03/31/18	1,773	1,424
	01/18	04/30/18	1,773	1,403
	01/18	05/31/18	1,773	1,382
	01/18	06/30/18	1,773	1,361
	01/18 01/18	07/31/18 08/31/18	1,773 1,773	1,340
	01/18	09/30/18	1,773	1,320
	01/18	10/31/18	1,773	1,300 1,280
	01/18	11/30/18	1,773	1,261
	01/18	12/31/18	1,773	1,242
	01/19	01/31/19	1,773	1,223
	01/19	02/28/19	1,773	1.205
	01/19	03/31/19	1,773	1,187
	01/19	04/30/19	1,773	1,169
	01/19	05/31/19	1,773	1,152
06/	01/19	06/30/19	1,773	1,134
07/	01/19	07/31/19	1,773	1,117
08/	01/19	08/31/19	1,773	1,100
09/	01/19	09/30/19	1,773	1,083
10/	01/19	10/31/19	1,773	1,067
11/0	01/19	11/30/19	1,773	1.051
12/	01/19	12/31/19	1,773	1,035
	01/20	01/31/20	1,773	1,019
	01/20	02/29/20	1,773	1,004
	01/20	03/31/20	1,773	989
	01/20	04/30/20	1,773	974
	)1/20	05/31/20	1,773	959
	01/20	06/30/20	1.773	945
	)1/20	07/31/20	1,773	930
	01/20	08/31/20	1,773	916
	01/20	09/30/20	1,773	902
	01/20 01/20	10/31/20	1,773	889
	01/20	11/30/20 12/31/20	1.773 1.773	875 862
12/	11/20	12/31/20 _	1,773	802
			\$106,400	\$81,757
Percentage o	f Settle	ment Paymen	ts to WSSC:	50%
		Amounts Owe	L. 11/0/02	644.0=0
	\$40,878			

### Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal, Inc.

# Past Losses and Future Lease Costs to be Incurred by Encinitas and Little Italy Offices 2014-2019

				Present Value
			Period	of Period
Period	Period		Loss/Lease	Loss/Lease
Start	End	Period	Cost	Cost
Encinitas [1]				
12/01/14	12/31/14	0.08	\$28,129	\$28,129
01/01/15	12/31/15	1.00	174,688	174,688
01/01/16	12/31/16	1.00	159,062	159,062
01/01/17	12/31/17	1.00	127,760	126,505
01/01/18	12/31/18	1.00	127,760	124,027
01/01/19	11/30/19	0.92	117,539	111,963
		5.00	734,939	724,375
Little Italy [2]				
06/02/14	12/31/14	0.58	140,003	140,003
01/01/15	12/31/15	1.00	241,681	241,681
01/01/16	12/31/16	1.00	272,377	272,377
01/01/17	06/01/17	0.42	53,265	53,047
en le paren le le participa participa en participa en el	an an an an an Air Airth Aire à a <del>n an an</del>	3.00	707,325	707,107
				-
			\$1,442,264	\$1,431,482

### Note:

<sup>[1]</sup> The Encinitas lease is assumed to expire on December 1, 2019.

<sup>[2]</sup> The Little Italy lease is assumed to expire on June 1, 2017. Future Lease costs shaded.

### Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal, Inc.

### Annual Losses Incurred Related to the Little Italy and Encinitas Locations

Year	Little Italy	Encinitas
2014	\$140,003	\$28,129
2015	241,681	174,688
2016 [1]	272,377	159,062
2017	272,377	159,062
2018		159,062
2019		159,062

### Note:

<sup>[1]</sup> Annualized assuming that 60.2% of the losses were incurred in the first 8 months of 2016.

#### Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal, Inc.

### Profit and Loss Statements for Little Italy and Encivitas Locations $2014 \cdot 2016 \label{eq:2016}$

	r			Little Italy				ſ	Encinitas	
	L			Jane may		······································		<u> </u>	2000000	
	2014	Jan - Aug 2015	% of Total	Sep - Dec 2015	% of Total	2015	3/1 - 8/31/16	2014	2015	1/1 - 8/31/16
Income										
Agent Commissions	\$52,002	\$120,070	62.2%	\$72,871	37.8%	\$192,941	\$133,217	\$0	\$97,304	\$95,547
E&O Income										1,350
Uncategorized Income										250
Total Income	52,002	120,070	62.2%	72,871	37.8%	192,941	133,217	0	97,304	97,147
Expense										
Advertising	16,202	13,549	66.0%	6,974	34.0%	20,523	8,911		1,383	(25)
Alann/Security	649	324	75.0%	108	25.0%	432	362		737	324
Arena to Mare - Fuel/Maint	2,228									
Auto Lease	422	787	23.5%	2,557	76.5%	3,344	2,595		0	0
Auto Expense	126	244	90.5%	26	9.5%	270	292		0	0
Auto Fuel & Maint	2,104	2,032	75.9%	647	24.1%	2,679	1,196		3,174	331
Bank Service Charges	121	176	70.7%	73	29.3%	249	100	595	0	0
Consulting						833				
Continuing Education							40			
Contributions/Donations	270	660	55.5%	530	44.5%	1,190	583		190	310
Dues/Memberships/Subscriptions	528	466	57.8%	340	42.2%	807	1,705		231	0
Equipment Expense	3,097	2,814	55.4%	2,270	44.6%	5,084	1,692		6,846	3,447
Insurance	6,698	12,029	69.2%	5,365	30.8%	17,394	11,596		0	0
Interest	6,512	6,923	86.1%	1,116	13.9%	8,039	2,672	1,135	12,194	7,178
License/Permits	4	(262)	165.6%	104	-65.6%	(158)	711		0	36
Meals & Entertainment	721	245	25.8%	705	74.2%	950	959		270	10
Moving/Storage	40	479	63.2%	279	36.8%	758	430		1,152	0
Office Cleaning	2,304	1,758	64.2%	980	35.8%	2,738	1,880		2,250	1,800
Office Expenses	4,528	2,199	41.4%	3,119	58.6%	5,317	3,574	503	5,191	877
Office Supplies	2,873	1,257	71.7%	497	28.3%	1,754	1,445		3,058	973
Payroll	41,571	109,166	66.6%	54,845	33.4%	164,011	108,045	16,525	101,505	75,000
Postage/Shipping	932	1,463	68.3%	679	31.7%	2,142	1,814		1,074	1,493
Printing	6,008	5,948	67.8%	2,824	32.2%	8,772	7,975		1,599	1,286
Professional Fees	6,109	10,083	21.2%	37,562	78.8%	47,645	38,027		7,369	3,902
Promotion/Events	950	1,245	86.5%	194	13.5%	1,440	149		0	
Rent	70,103	76,062	66.8%	37,870	33.2%	113,932	84,667	8,850	107,873	85,294
Repairs & Maint.	136	382	67.6%	183	32.4%	565	22	190	935	35
Sales & Use Tax	61	63	66.7%	31	33.3%	94	8		0	
Signage	3,543	432	34.4%	823	65.6%	1,255	3,986		1,023	3,447
Taxes	0	985	67.1%	483	32.9%	1,468	1,017		0	
Telephone	5,804	5,799	66.5%	2,925	33.5%	8,724	5,840	330	7,827	5,060
Travel	2,214	1,418	51.9%	1,314	48.1%	2,732	2,818		1,395	
Utilities	2,849	2,496	62.2%	1,519	37.8%	4,014	2,059		2,993	2,117
Windermere Services SoCal	2,300	4,325	76.9%	1,300	23.1%	5,625			1,725	
Total Expense	192,004	265,548	61.1%	168,240	38.9%	434,621	297,172	28,129	271,992	192,894
Net Ordinary Income	(\$140,003)	(\$145,478)	60.2%	(\$95,369)	39.8%	(\$241,681)	(\$163,955)	(\$28,129)	(\$174,688)	(\$95,747)

### Windermere Services Southern California ("WSSC")

### Unreimbursed Windermere Watch Expenses 2013 - 2015

Year	Expenses	Credits	Net Expenses
2013	\$94,113	\$64,113	\$30,000
2014	85,999	21,167	64,832
2015	52,122		52,122
	\$232,234	\$85,280	\$146,954

#### Exhibit A

### **Documents and Information Considered**

- WSC 1690 1727
- First Amended Complaint
- First Amended Counterclaim
- B&D0069221 0069393
- B&D0035688 0035689
- B&D0042551 0042552
- B&D0051403 0051466
- B&D0051468 0051485
- B&D0051487 0051577
- B&D0051581 0051584 B&D0065246 - 0065248
- B&D0068516 0068518
- B&D0068539 0068889
- B&D0038816 0038817
- B&D0038957 0038958
- B&D0051578 0051580 B&D0068896 - 0068897
- B&D0038795 0038796
- B&D0069414 0069529
- B&D0069546 0069710
- WSC055178 055440
- WSC055463 055562
- WSC0057265 0057266
- WSC0057269 0057270
- August 18, 2015 Purchase and Sale Agreement
- May 2015 Letter of Intent
- B&D0000906 0000907
- B&D0004639 0004656
- September 29, 2014 Appraisal prepared by the Mentor Group
- Windermere Services Southern California, Inc. recast financial statements
- May 1, 2014 email from Patrick Robinson to selinab@windermere.com
- Copy of Coast Tech Costs.xlsx
- Copy of CV Tech Costs.xlsx
- Windermere Watch Expenses.xls
- WSC057572 057630
- WSC057658 057673
- Lease documents related to 265 W. Washington St.
- 2015 August 2016 Profit and Loss Statements for Encinitas, Hillcrest and Little Italy locations
- WSC 1071 1075
- WSC 13816 13818
- WSC040949 040950
- Exhibit 137 (B&D Calculation of Total Owed)
- B&D0003410
- WSC044862 -- 044863

- 2014 – 2015 Windermere Real Estate Franchise Disclosure Documents WSC025516 – 025534

#### Exhibit B

#### Peter D. Wrobel, CPA/ABV, CFE

Peter D. Wrobel is a Managing Director with Berkeley Research Group ("BRG"). With more than twenty years of forensic accounting and business valuation experience, Mr. Wrobel has testified as an expert in both Federal and State courts in cases involving business valuation, fraud, breach of contract, wrongful termination, and personal injury matters. He specializes in damage determination; statistical, economic and cost analysis; and mathematical modeling and database development. He has extensive experience in developing trial graphics and other exhibits.

Prior to BRG he was a Director of LECG LLC and Navigant Consulting, Inc. and a Managing Director of FTI Consulting, Inc. in Los Angeles. Prior to joining FTI Consulting, Mr. Wrobel was Senior Partner of Simpson LLP. Most of this work involved determining and analyzing damages. Prior to the formation of Simpson LLP, he was a Senior Manager in the Litigation Services practice in the Los Angeles office of Coopers & Lybrand (now known as PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP).

Mr. Wrobel holds an MBA with a concentration in Accounting from the University of Southern California and a BA and MA in History from UCLA. He is a Certified Public Accountant, and a Certified Fraud Examiner. Mr. Wrobel also holds the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Accreditation in Business Valuation. Mr. Wrobel is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners.

Mr. Wrobel has participated in and taught various professional courses for the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the California Society of Certified Public Accountants and the Gould School of Law at the University of Southern California.

### Exhibit C

### Prior Expert Testimony of Peter D. Wrobel, CPA/ABV, CFE

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
09/12	Jeffrey Nordella, MD v. Blue Cross of California
04/13	[BC 444364] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County (deposition and trial testimony)
10/12	Richardson & Patel LLP v. David Coloris, Graham Phillips, et al. and related matters
	[BC 39259] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County (deposition testimony)
10/12	Artis Knox v. Goodwill Industries of Southern California, et al.
	[BC 450967] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
10/12	(deposition testimony) Steven Rodriguez v. Hermosa Beach Chamber of Commerce, et al.
	[YC 064185] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County (deposition testimony)
01/13	Schlumberger Technology Corporation v. East Charleston, Inc., et al.
	[CV 11-02587 LHK] United States District Court, Northern District of California (deposition testimony)
01/13	Randy and Lisa Herman v. Shijin Kim
	[BC 462962] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County (deposition testimony)
02/13	Kathryn Johnston, et al. v. Pacific Hills Treatment Centers, Inc., et al.
	[30-2010-00429819] California Superior Court, Orange Country (deposition testimony)
02/13	Palm Springs Pump, Inc. v. Peerless Insurance Company, et al.
04/13	[INC 1109263] California Superior Court, Riverside-Inyo County (deposition and trial testimony)
03/13	SME Consolidated, Ltd. v. Sweet People Apparel, Inc.
	[13 130 Y] American Arbitration Association (arbitration testimony)
06/13	Dylan Ridgel v. United States of America, et al.
	[SACV 12-00071 JVS (MLGx)] United States District Court, Central District of California (deposition testimony)
07/13	Ronald Nelson, Jr. v. BNSF Railway Company, et al.
	[RG12644175] California Superior Court, Alameda County (deposition testimony)
08/13	Delton R. Fair v. BNSF Railway Company
	[11 CECG04269] California Superior Court, Fresno County
10/13	(deposition testimony) Charles Henley v. Union Pacific Railroad Company
10/15	[RG 12633325] California Superior Court, Alameda County
10/13	(deposition testimony)  Jennifer Anderson v. City of Torrance, et al.
,	[YC066843] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(deposition testimony)

12/13	Martha Aboulafia, et al. v. GACN, Inc., et al.
	[BC 469940] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(trial testimony)
01/14	Lorie Valero v. City of Placentia, et al.
	[00513403] California Superior Court, Orange County
	(deposition testimony)
02/14	Estella Butler and Phillip Fikes v. Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District, et al.
	[5:12-cv-01900-PSG-OP] United States District Court, Central District of California
00/14	(deposition testimony)
02/14	Wang v. Eden Rock, et al.
	[72 115 00021 12 HIIB] American Arbitration Association
02/14	(arbitration testimony) Onolia Rodriguez v. Caliente Farms, et al.
02/14	
	[ ] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County (deposition testimony)
03/14	Kyle Brown v. Du Puy Mitek, Inc., et al.
	[BC 494993] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(deposition testimony)
03/14	Lexjet Corporation v. Breathing Color
03/14	
	[8:11-cv-02828-JSM-TBM] United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division (deposition testimony)
	(ueposition resumony)
04/14	Debra Votta v. Red Alinsod, MD, et al.
05/14	[30-2009-00323060-CU-PL-CJC] California Superior Court, Orange County
	(deposition and trial testimony)
04/14	Jeremiah J. Kerneen v. Wham-O, Inc., Frank Smith, et al.
05/14	[72 160 00762 13 JOG3] American Arbitration Association
	(deposition and arbitration testimony)
05/14	Bhikhubhai C. Patel v. Clocktower Inn, Inc., et al. and related actions
07/14	[YC067782] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
06/14	(deposition and arbitration testimony)
06/14	Crystal Thomas v. Union Pacific Railroad Company
	[12CECG02012] California Superior Court, Fresno County (deposition testimony)
06/14	Prowess Inc. v. Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc.
	[1100072826] JAMS Arbitration, County of San Francisco
	(deposition testimony)
06/14	Estate of Arturo Cabrales, et al. v. County of Los Angeles
	[ED CV 12-01900 PSG (OPx) United States District Court, Central District of California
	(deposition testimony)
07/14	Pirooze Khebreh v. Scottsdale Indemnity Company
	[BS 147860] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(deposition testimony)
08/14	DAVRO LLC and David Weisman v. Kais Almarzouk, et al. and related actions
09/14	[BC 481423] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
00/14	(deposition and trial testimony)
08/14	Jazinyne Gurrola, et al. v. Los Angeles Unified School District, et al.
	[BC 501416] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(deposition testimony)

	08/14	Telesys Communications Corporation v. Inn Room Video, Inc., et al.
		[C12-00109] California Superior Court, Contra Costa County
	08/14	(deposition testimony) Property ID Corporation v. Geoassurance, Inc., et al.
	09/14	[NC056013] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
		(deposition and trial testimony)
•	09/14	Charta Group, Inc. v. Tony Sara, et al.
		[YC 060343] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	09/14	(deposition testimony) Detta Ruth Cavanagh v. Eric Pfeifer
	03.17	[30-2011 00531828] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
		(deposition testimony)
	10/14	Izek Shomof v. Naty Saidoff, et al.
	11/14 12/14	[BC 499518] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	12/14	(deposition and trial testimony)
		Gladys Vallone v. Taco Bell Corp., et al. [30-2013-00691532] California Superior Court, Orange County
		(deposition testimony)
	12/14	Perry Mack, Jr., v. Union Pacific Railroad Company
	01/15	[NC058492] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	02/15	(deposition and trial testimony)
	02/15 03/15	Mike Johar, et al. v. Richard Kelly, et al.
		[30-2013-00626770] California Superior Court, Orange County (deposition and trial testimony)
	02/15	Corbin Northridge LP v. HBC Solutions, Inc., The Harris Corporation, Inc.
		[2:14-CV-02714-RGK-JC] United States District Court, Central Division California, Western Division
	02/15	(deposition testimony)
	03/15	Jeffrey Boxer v. Christyne Buteyn, et al. [BC 522433] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
		(deposition and trial testimony)
	04/15	Burley Tompkins v. Union Pacific Railroad Company
		[2:12-CV-01481-JAM-GGH] United States District Court, Eastern District of California - Sacramento
		Division] (deposition testimony)
	05/15	Joseph E. Blodgett v. Allstate Insurance Company
		[UIM Arbitration]
	05/15	(deposition testimony)
	05/15	Brooke Harman v. Target Corporation, et al.
		[BC 489100] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County (deposition testimony)
	05/15	Jeffrey Young v. Union Pacific Railroad Company
		[BC 54293] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	06/15	(deposition testimony)
	06/15	Jose Sanguino v. George Benjamin, et al.  [BC 500234] Colifornia Supprior Court Lee Appeles Courts
		[BC 509234] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County (deposition testimony)

Monarch Medical Group, Inc. v. Stacia Green
[SC 122948] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County - West District
(deposition testimony)
In the Matter of the Patton Family Lead Trust
[P079997] California Superior Court, Ventura County
(deposition testimony)
Cecilia Diego v. Pilgrim United Church of Christ
[37-2011-00099381-CU-OE-CTL] California Superior Court, San Diego County
(deposition testimony) Silvia Gomez v. MagCo Drilling, Inc.
[BC 534017] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
(trial testimony)
Jennifer Hendrickson v. Tracey Layana
[BC514536] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
(deposition testimony)
Izek Shomof v. Naty Saidoff, et al.
[BC 499518] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
(deposition and trial testimony)
Gladys Vallone v. Taco Bell Corp., et al.
[30-2013-00691532] California Superior Court, Orange County
(deposition testimony)
Perry Mack, Jr., v. Union Pacific Railroad Company
[NC058492] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
(deposition and trial testimony)
Mike Johar, et al. v. Richard Kelly, et al.
[30-2013-00626770] California Superior Court, Orange County
(deposition and trial testimony)
Corbin Northridge LP v. HBC Solutions, Inc., The Harris Corporation, Inc.
[2:14-CV-02714-RGK-JC] United States District Court, Central Division California, Western Division
(deposition testimony)
Jeffrey Boxer v. Christyne Buteyn, et al.
[BC 522433] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
(deposition and trial testimony)
Burley Tompkins v. Union Pacific Railroad Company
[2:12-CV-01481-JAM-GGH] United States District Court, Eastern District of California - Sacramento
Division]
(deposition testimony)
Joseph E. Blodgett v. Allstate Insurance Company
[UIM Arbitration]
(deposition testimony)
Brooke Harman v. Target Corporation, et al.
[BC 489100] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
(deposition testimony)

06/15

05/15	Jeffrey Young v. Union Pacific Railroad Company
	[BC 54293] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(deposition testimony)
06/15	Jose Sanguino v. George Benjamin, et al.
	[BC 509234] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(deposition testimony)
06/15	Monarch Medical Group, Inc. v. Stacia Green
	[SC 122948] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County – West District
	(deposition testimony)
07/15	In the Matter of the Patton Family Lead Trust
02/16	[P079997] California Superior Court, Ventura County
05/16	(deposition and trial testimony)
08/15	Cecilia Diego v. Pilgrim United Church of Christ
	[37-2011-00099381-CU-OE-CTL] California Superior Court, San Diego County
	(deposition testimony)
09/15	Silvia Gomez v. MagCo Drilling, Inc.
	[BC 534017] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(trial testimony)
09/15	Jennifer Hendrickson v. Tracey Layana
02/16	[BC514536] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(deposition and trial testimony)
01/16	Jose Figueroa v. United States of America
	[15-CV-00555JFW(ASx)] United States District Court, Central District of California
	(deposition testimony)
03/16	Ronald Farina v. Hilton Worldwide, et al.
	[BC 551918] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(deposition testimony)
04/16	Kim Nguyen-Amour v. International Rectified Corporation, et al.
	[BC 565159] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(deposition testimony)
04/16	Lani Gulmette, et al. v. City of Los Angeles, et al.
	[BC 523080] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(deposition and trial testimony)
05/16	Charles and Karen Draper v. Loma Linda University Medical Center, et al.
	[CIVDS 1109299] California Superior Court, San Bernardino County
	(deposition testimony)
05/16	Planet Desert. Inc. v. Swajian & Swajian
	[INC 1107795] California Superior Court, Riverside County
	(deposition testimony)
07/16	HSV Realty Exchange LLC v. Construction Insurance Partners LLC, et al.
	[BC 530787] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County
	(deposition testimony)

08/16	Uisu Lai v. Sarah Seif
08/16	[30-2015-00779669] California Superior Court, Orange County (deposition testimony) Nancy Lynn v. Walmart Stores, Inc., et al.
	[BC 539472] California Superior Court, Los Angeles County (deposition testimony)
08/16	Shawn Bennett v. Rancho California Water District
	[RIC 1218298] California Superior Court, Riverside County (deposition testimony)
09/16	G.P.P., Inc. v. Guardian Protection Products, Inc.
	[1:15-cv-00321 SKO] United States District Court, Eastern District of California (deposition testimony)

### .

### 2

### 3

# 56

# 7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

2122

24

23

2526

27

28

### PROOF OF SERVICE

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1230, Irvine, CA 92614.

On September 16, 2016, I served document(s) described as PLAINTIFFS AND COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE PURSUANT TO RULE 26 OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE on the following person at the addresses and/or facsimile number below:

Pérez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby John Vaughn 750 B. Street, 33<sup>rd</sup> Floor San Diego, CA 92101 vaughn@perezwilson.com

- [ ] VIA FACSIMILE Based on an agreement by the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents from a fax machine in Irvine, California, with the number 949-252-0090, to the parties and/or attorney for the parties at the facsimile transmission number(s) shown herein. The facsimile transmission was reported as complete without error by a transmission report, issued by the facsimile transmission upon which the transmission was made, a copy of which is attached hereto.
- [X] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE Based on a court order or agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification addresses listed herein on the above referenced date. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
- [X] BY MAIL I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day, with postage thereon fully prepaid, at Irvine, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- [ ] BY CERTIFIED MAIL I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day, with postage thereon fully prepaid, at Irvine, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
  - BY FEDERAL EXPRESS I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for Federal Express. Under that practice it would be deposited

	1
1	with Federal Express on that same day in the ordinary course of business for overnight delivery with delivery costs thereon fully prepaid by sender, at Irvine, California.
2	BY MESSENGER SERVICE – I served the documents by placing them in an envelope of
3	package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed herein and providing them to professional messenger service for service. A declaration by the messenger service will be
4	filed separately.
5 6	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the Unite States of America that the above is true and correct.
7	
8	Executed on September 16, 2016 at Irvine, California.
9	By: Babaia Calvert
10	By: DWOWA Callvort  Barbara Calvert
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	John D. Vaughn, State Bar No. 171801 Jeffrey A. Feasby, State Bar No. 208759 PEREZ VAUGHN & FEASBY Inc. 600 B Street, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: 619-702-8044 Facsimile: 619-460-0437 E-Mail: vaughn@pvflaw.com  Jeffrey L. Fillerup, State Bar No. 120543 Rincon Law LLP 90 New Montgomery St Suite 1400 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 996-8199 Facsimile: (415) 996-8280 E-Mail: jfillerup@rinconlawllp.com  Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclain Windermere Real Estate Services Compar	nant
12	w muchinere Rear Estate Services Compar	ıy
13		DISTRICT COURT
14	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES, INC., a California corporation, BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES SOCAL, INC., a California corporation, WINDERMERE SERVICES SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC., a California corporation,  Plaintiffs,  v.  WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SERVICES COMPANY, a Washington corporation; and DOES 1-10  Defendant.	Case No. 5:15-CV-01921 R (KKx) Hon. Manuel L. Real WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SERVICES COMPANY'S REBUTTAL EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE PURSUANT TO RULE 26 OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE  Courtroom 8  Trial Date: October 18, 2016
26 27	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS	Complaint Filed: September 17, 2015

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26, defendant and counterclaimant Windermere Real Estate Services Company ("WSC") hereby provides the following Rebuttal Expert Witness Disclosure:

- 1. WSC has retained Neil J. Beaton, CPA/ABV/CFF, CFA, ASA, and his company Alvarez & Marsal Valuation Services, LLC, as persons who may be used at trial to present evidence under Federal Rules of Evidence 702, 703, or 705. A written report and supporting materials prepared by Mr. Beaton and Alvarez & Marsal Valuation Services, LLC, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 26(a)(2)(B), is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated by this reference.
- 2. WSC also reserves the right to utilize the testimony of any expert retained by plaintiffs and counter-defendants in this matter.
- 3. WSC expressly reserves the right to identify other experts as a supplement to these disclosures if discovery continues and/or as additional individuals, documents, or information are identified or obtained which are likely to lead to, possess, or contain discoverable information, or as parties identify other experts.
- 4. These disclosures are based upon information and facts no available from WSC's understating of the issues, contentions, and arguments WSC intends to assert at the time of trial in this matter. These disclosures are without prejudice to experts, facts, issues, and contentions subsequently learned or discovered.

```
21 | ///
22 | ///
23 | ///
```

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

///

1	5. WSC shall supplement this disclosure when and as required under the	
2	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any other applicable rules.	
3		
4	DATED: March 3, 2017	PEREZ VAUGHN & FEASBY Inc.
5		
6		By: /s/ Jeffrey A. Feasby
7		John D. Vaughn Jeffrey A. Feasby
8		Attorneys for
9		Windermere Real Estate Services Company
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2
		<i>L</i>

# EXHIBIT 1

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES, INC., a California corporation, BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES SOCAL, INC., a California corporation, WINDERMERE SERVICES SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC., a California corporation, Plaintiffs,

VS.

WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SERVICES COMPANY, a Washington corporation, and DOES 1-10, Defendants.

Case No: 5:15-cv-01921-R-KK

Rebuttal Report of Neil J. Beaton, CPA/ABV/CFF, CFA, ASA March 3, 2017

ALVAREZ & MARSAL VALUATION SERVICES, LLC

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 800 Seattle, WA 98101

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Qualifications	3
Assignment	4
Materials Reviewed	5
Background	
Summary of Rebuttal Opinions	
Work Performed and Basis for Opinions	7
Conclusions	
Fees	
Signature	16

# Attachments:

Exhibit 1 – Curriculum Vitae

Exhibit 2 – Testimony Summary

Exhibit 3 – Speeches and Publications

Exhibit 4 – Documents Reviewed and Considered

# **QUALIFICATIONS**

- I, Neil J. Beaton, am a Managing Director at Alvarez & Marsal Valuation Services, LLC ("A&M"). I specialize in business valuations, mergers and acquisition support, litigation consulting, and economic analysis. Prior to joining A&M, I was the Global Lead of Complex Valuation at Grant Thornton LLP, and before joining Grant Thornton LLP, I was a shareholder in a boutique business valuation and economic consulting firm headquartered in Seattle, WA. Additionally, I was previously employed by the Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, an international financial services conglomerate with interests in credit reporting, securities analysis and financial management.
- I am a Certified Public Accountant and have achieved the designations of Accredited in 2. Business Valuation ("ABV") and Certified in Financial Forensics ("CFF"), sponsored by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA"). I am also a Chartered Financial Analyst ("CFA") under the auspices of the CFA Institute ("CFAI") and an Accredited Senior Appraiser ("ASA") under the auspices of the American Society of Appraisers. I am a member of the AICPA, the Washington Society of CPAs, and the CFAI. I am a past president and trustee of the Seattle Society of Financial Analysts, a former Co-Chair of the AICPA's Valuation of Private Equity Securities Task Force, a former member of the AICPA's ABV Exam Committee, a former member of the AICPA's Mergers & Acquisitions Disputes Task Force, and a former chair of the AICPA's FAS 141/142 Task Force. I am a member of the Business Valuation Update Editorial Advisory Board, on the Panel of Experts for the publication, Financial Valuation and Litigation Expert, and on the Editorial Board of the National Association of Certified Valuation Analysts, Value Examiner. I am a past member of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") Valuation Resource Group and the AJCPA's National Accreditation Committee for Business Valuation. A Curriculum

Vitae is attached as Exhibit 1, along with a testimony list covering the last four years as Exhibit 2, and a list of my presentations and publications in the last 10 years as Exhibit 3.

### **ASSIGNMENT**

- 3. At the request of counsel to Windermere Real Estate Services Company ("WSC" or the "Company"), I was asked to review and analyze an expert report dated September 16, 2016 prepared by Peter D. Wrobel ("Wrobel Report"). In his expert report, Mr. Wrobel opines that Bennion & Deville Fine Homes, Inc. ("B&D Fine Homes"), Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal, Inc. ("B&D SoCal"), and Windermere Services Southern California, Inc. ("WSSC") (collectively the "Bennion & Deville Entities") allegedly incurred "out-of-pocket damages" due to various alleged breaches by WSC. The Wrobel Report limits its damages to B&D SoCal and WSSC, but states these two entities "have or will suffer" damages totaling \$4,236,999.
- 4. I previously provided an expert report on September 16, 2016 ("A&M Expert Report") regarding my expert opinions regarding the claims being made by Bennion & Deville Entities against WSC. The Bennion & Deville Entities are claiming breach of contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and violation of the California Franchise Relations Action. As of the date of this rebuttal report, WSC had prevailed on a number of summary judgement claims related to the technology and "Windermere system" portions of specific claims made by the Bennion & Deville Entities as well as certain claims related to California franchise law. I have assumed that WSC is liable for other portions of the alleged bad acts that the Bennion & Deville Entities claim occurred although the nexus of these alleged claims to damages are not set forth in the Wrobel Report. Although I have assumed that WSC is liable for the alleged bad acts for purposes of this rebuttal report, I do not offer, nor do I plan to offer, any evidence regarding liability.

# **MATERIALS REVIEWED**

5. When used hereinafter, "we" and/or "our" means me and/or persons working under my supervision and control. The A&M Expert Report contains a complete list of documents I reviewed up through the issuance of that report. Since the issuance of the A&M Expert Report, I have been provided with various additional documents, but specifically Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants' Expert Witness Disclosure Pursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure which disclosed the Wrobel Report, a "rough" deposition transcript and accompanying discovery for Greg Barton, CPA, dated October 19, 2016, and various other discovery. A list of documents received since the issuance of the A&M Expert Report is provided on Exhibit 4.

### **BACKGROUND**

6. A detailed background regarding this matter was provided in the A&M Expert Report dated September 16, 2016; the reader is referred to that report for additional information that forms the basis for some of my rebuttal opinions in this rebuttal report.

# **SUMMARY OF REBUTTAL OPINIONS**

7. The Wrobel Report outlines four specific areas of alleged damage that include: 1) the net value of WSSC as of January 2015; 2) settlement amounts improperly withheld from WSSC; 3) past losses and future lease obligations related to offices leased by BD SoCal; and 4) net unreimbursed Windermere Watch expenses. These alleged damages total \$4,236,999 and are detailed in the following table:

Net Value of WSSC as of January 2015			\$ 2,592,526
Settlement Amounts Improperly Withheld from WSSC			
King Settlement	\$	16,690	
Kirksey Settlement		40,878	
Brown Settlement	***************************************	8,469	
Subtotal			66,037
Past Losses and Future Lease Obligations - B&D SoCal			
Encintas Office	\$	724,375	
Little Italy Office	*******	707,107	
Subtotal			1,431,482
Net Unreimbursed Windermere Watch Expenses			 146,954
Total Damages and Value of WSSC			\$ 4,236,999

- 8. It is my opinion that the Wrobel Report incorrectly calculates and materially overstates the net value of WSSC under the valuation formula set forth in the WSSC Area Representation Agreement ("ARA"). Furthermore, the valuation calculations set forth in the Wrobel Report are flawed and do not comport with generally accepted valuation principles, resulting in an unreliable and inaccurate damages conclusion.
- 9. It is also my opinion that the Wrobel Report's damages related to improperly withheld settlement amounts are redundant with the net value of WSSC, since the settlement payments would be part of future payments under the Termination Obligation of section 4.2 of the ARA. However, even if it is adjudicated that such settlement amounts are due to WSSC, the calculation is a simple accounting exercise which does not require expert opinion.
- 10. The Wrobel Report assumes that WSC induced WSSC to open two offices in the San Diego area, Encinitas and Little Italy, and therefore claims that WSC is liable for these two offices' past and future losses and lease costs. The Wrobel Report does not provide a basis for the assumption that WSC induced WSSC to open these two locations, and therefore it is my opinion that this measure of damages is improper. However, assuming that it is adjudicated

that WSC somehow illegally induced WSSC to open the two offices, it is my opinion that the Wrobel Report overstates the damages related to WSC's alleged act. Moreover, the damages calculations in the Wrobel Report do not comply with generally accepted damages calculations since they ignore expenditure offsets and potential mitigation that should be included in assessing damages.

11. The last measure of damage, i.e., net unreimbursed Windermere Watch expenses, is a simple accounting exercise assuming it is adjudicated that such costs were, in fact, owed by WSC and unreimbursed. As such, I have no expert rebuttal opinion for the calculations made in the Wrobel Report since those costs can be measured without the need of an expert.

# **WORK PERFORMED AND BASIS FOR OPINIONS**

12. Of the four categories of damage set forth in the Wrobel Report, only two require an in-depth expert discussion and analysis, namely the calculation of WSSC's net value and the past and future losses and lease obligations. The calculation of the allegedly improper settlement amounts and allegedly net unreimbursed expenses are simple math and accounting calculations dependent upon legal findings. My analysis and rebuttal opinions follow.

# Net Value of WSSC as of January 2015

13. The following background was primarily obtained from the Windermere ARA dated May 1, 2004 between WSSC and WSC.<sup>1</sup> WSSC was an Area Representative for WSC throughout a non-exclusive market comprised mainly of the Inland Empire and Southern California areas of California from 2004 through January 2015. As an Area Representative, WSSC was to offer Windermere licenses to real estate brokerages in a defined area to use the Windermere trademark and Windermere System. WSSC's responsibilities included marketing Windermere licenses, establishing and operating training and development centers for salespersons

Windermere Area Services Agreement, dated May 1, 2004.

- operating under Windermere licensed real estate brokerages, offering marketing programs and materials, collecting fees from Windermere licensees, and other services as defined in the ARA. As compensation for these services, WSSC generally was to receive 50 percent of the initiation and license fees collected from Windermere-licensed real estate brokerages.
- 14. According to Section 4.1 of the ARA, the ARA was terminable at any time by mutual written agreement of the parties, by either party upon one hundred eighty days written notice to the other party, by either party upon ninety days written notice to the other party for cause, or by either party without giving prior notice if the other party (i) is adjudicated bankrupt or insolvent, (ii) makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors or similar disposition of the assets of its business, (iii) voluntarily abandons its Franchise or licensing business, or (iv) is (or its principals are) convicted of or pleads guilty or no contest to a charge of violating any franchise laws and regulations and/or any real estate licensing laws and regulations.
- 15. Furthermore, according to Section 17 of the ARA, the agreement and the rights construed by the ARA were non-transferable without the express written consent of WSC, which could be withheld WSC's sole discretion.
- 16. According to Section 4.2 of the ARA, in the event of a termination of the agreement by either party without cause, the "Terminating Party" would pay the "Terminated Party" "an amount equal to the fair market value of Terminated Party's interest in the Agreement... (the 'Termination Obligation')". The "fair market value of the Terminated Party's interest will be determined by the appraisers without [emphasis added] consideration of speculative factors including, specifically, future revenue. The appraisers shall look at the gross revenues received [emphasis added] under the Transaction during the twelve months preceding the termination date from the then existing [emphasis added] licensees that remain with or affiliate with the Terminating Party [emphasis added]."

- 17. The Wrobel Report did not follow the instructions in the ARA in determining "the fair market value of the Terminated Party's [assuming it was WSSC] interest in the Agreement..."

  Rather, the Wrobel Report calculated what it terms the "net value of WSSC as of January 2015." WSSC was not entitled to the net value of WSSC upon termination; rather it was only entitled to the Termination Obligation defined as "the fair market value of the Terminated Party's [assuming it was WSSC] interest in the Agreement..." The net value of WSSC is NOT equal to the "the fair market value of the Terminated Party's [assuming it was WSSC] interest in the Agreement..." Accordingly, it is my opinion that the calculation of WSSC's net value as set forth in the Wrobel Report is irrelevant to what WSSC would have been entitled to receive as a result of the termination of the ARA.
- 18. For argument's sake, assuming that the net value of WSSC was the appropriate measure of the Termination Obligation, the calculations set forth on Schedules 2A through 2D of the Wrobel Report are unreliable, unsupported, and contrary to generally accepted valuation principles for a damages analysis. Schedule 2B of the Wrobel Report ostensibly calculates the "Adjusted Operating Cash Flow" that is used as the initial level of cash flow in Schedule 2A for the Wrobel Report's discounted cash flow analysis. The profit and loss statements utilized in Schedule 2B to derive WSSC's cash flow were "recast" by Gary Barton, the Bennion & Deville Entities' certified public accountant. The recast income statements add back license fees that were never paid by B&D Fine Homes or B&D SoCal. As a matter of fact, much of these "recast" fees that were owed to WSSC and WSC were either never paid or they have been forgiven by WSC.
- 19. One of the requirements in the ARA was for WSSC to provide audited financial statements to WSC by March 1 of each year for inclusion in WSC's Uniform Franchise Offering Circular

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See "WSSC Valuation Worksheet" contained in Mr. Barton's production.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Windermere Services Southern California, Inc. Financial Statements for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and WSC 1215 through 1225.

("UFOC") that it submitted to the State of California on an annual basis.<sup>4</sup> Although WSSC was late in obtaining its audited financial statements from 2011 through 2013 (the last year that WSSC's audited financial statements were provided to WSC), a reading of the latest audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 indicates that neither B&D Fine Homes nor B&D SoCal paid their obligatory license fees to WSSC for any year between 2011 and 2013. These financial statements were submitted to the State of California as part of WSC's UFOC and were therefore relied upon by prospective franchisees in making their decisions to enter into a franchise agreement with WSC. If the B&D Fine Home and B&D SoCal license fees were never paid, and according to WSSC's own audited financial statements were not going to be paid,<sup>5</sup> then recasting them as Mr. Barton has done (and upon which the Wrobel Report relies) is a complete fabrication and a violation of generally accepted valuation principles as set forth in the Wrobel Report.

- 20. When questioned at his deposition, Mr. Barton responded in the following way to this question posed by Mr. Jeff Feasby, counsel to WSC:
  - Q (By Mr. Feasby) Would it be proper to include related party debt cancelation as income for purposes of doing a valuation of a company?
  - A (By Mr. Barton) Probably not.
  - Q Can you think of an instance when it would be?
  - A If it was deemed collectible subsequent to the write-off, then yes.
  - Q Do you whether or -- know whether or not -- in this instance, if this -- any of this amount had ever been deemed collectible?
  - A I couldn't tell you.
  - Q Where would that information be reflected?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> WSSC did not satisfy this timing requirement for any year between 2011 and 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Windermere Services Southern California audited financial statements, pages 3, 5 and Footnote 2 on page 10.

A It would be in payments made after it was written off.<sup>6</sup>

- 21. As such, Mr. Barton himself indicated that his recasting of WSSC's income statement would be an improper basis for the valuation of WSSC, assuming the valuation of WSSC was a proper measure of damage, which it is not. This alone renders the Wrobel Report damages calculation related to WSSC's net value unreliable and contrary to generally accepted valuation principles. WSSC recorded losses on its audited income statement totaling \$335,450, \$165,424, and \$1,049,395 in 2011, 2012, and 2013, respectively. I was not provided with an income statement for 2014, but based on Mr. Barton's recast WSSC Valuation Worksheet, WSSC would have recorded a \$6,237 loss in 2014 without the inclusion of the fictitious and unpaid license fees from B&D Fine Homes and B&D SoCal. For the year ended December 31, 2015, Mr. Barton prepared a compiled income statement for WSSC, based on financial data provided by WSSC management, which showed a loss of \$80,518. Thus, in the four years prior to the valuation date and the one year after the valuation date, WSSC never reported a profit but rather compiled losses over this period totaling over \$1,637,000.
- 22. In valuation theory, a company's prospects are the driver of value since future cash flows are what create value. In this case, WSSC did not prepare a forecast so future cash flows would normally be based on an analysis of past performance. Under the fair market value standard, a willing buyer and a willing seller would have at their disposal all relevant facts regarding the business. In this case, a buyer would have five years of losses, three of which were certified by an audit and one of which was based on information provided by WSSC management. Under this circumstance, it would he highly unlikely, if not impossible, to convince a buyer that after five years of losses, WSSC was going to earn over \$400,000 in cash flow at an increasing rate forever. However, that is exactly the assumption contained in the Wrobel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Videotaped Deposition of Greg Bargon (sic), dated October 19, 2016, page 118.

- Report. As such, the net value determined in the Wrobel Report is nothing short of a fabrication based on artificially inflated cash flows created for this litigation.
- 23. In a proper discounted cash flow analysis, an appraiser requires the estimation of cash flows (which have already been discussed), the derivation of a discount rate, and an estimate of the company's long-term cash flow growth rate. I have already pointed out the unreliable nature of the cash flows estimated on Schedule 2A of the Wrobel Report, but the discount rate and cash flow growth rate used therein suffer from similar shortcomings. In regards to the discount and growth rates used, the Wrobel Report states in footnote 1 on page 2: "The discount rate used is 18% and the capitalization rate is 16%. These rates, as well as growth rates and the general methodology utilized are also consistent with a contemporaneous valuation of BD Fine and BD SoCal that was performed in September 2014 by the Mentor Group." Utilizing discount, capitalization, and growth rates based on a valuation report prepared by another firm on another company in a different time frame violates generally accepted valuation principles as well as professional valuation standards.
- 24. The Mentor Group's valuation report<sup>7</sup> was of B&D Fine Homes based on a valuation date of April 30, 2014, eight months prior to the net value valuation date used in the Wrobel Report. In determining an appropriate discount and growth rate applicable to B&D Fine Homes, the Mentor Group performed extensive financial, risk, and market analyses using a sophisticated Monte Carlo analysis; in contrast, the Wrobel Report contained no independent financial, market, or risk analysis. The Mentor Group estimated B&D Fine Homes' growth rate at 5.25 percent from 2014 through 2018 based on an analysis of B&D Fine Homes' historical growth; the Wrobel Report used a 5.00 percent growth rate from 2015 through 2019 without explanation except to refer to the Mentor Group valuation. B&D Fine Homes (a real estate brokerage) was a fundamentally different company than WSSC (a services company) and had

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The Mentor Group's valuation is not in accordance with the valuation formula under Section 4.1

a fundamentally different risk profile and growth prospects. To assume that the risk profiles and growth prospects of two such fundamentally different companies were the same is an egregious violation of generally accepted valuation principles and professional standards, and those violations alone would render the valuation conclusion unreliable and unsupportable even if the cash flows had been properly vetted. In short, the net value of WSSC in the Wrobel Report is entirely unreliable and a total misapplication of the Termination Obligation in the ARA.

# **Settlement Amounts Improperly Withheld from WSSC**

- 25. As I noted in the Summary of Rebuttal Opinions section of this report, the amount of damages set forth in the Wrobel Report for "Settlement Amounts Improperly Withheld from WSSC" is based on a legal finding that that has not yet occurred. Assuming WSC is found to have improperly withheld such payments, the calculation of the amount due to WSSC does not require expert assistance as the calculation is simple math.
- 26. Setting aside my opinion in the foregoing paragraph, it is further my opinion that the Wrobel Report's damages related to improperly withheld settlement amounts are redundant with the net value of WSSC he has calculated since the settlement payments form part of future payments under the Termination Obligation of Section 4.2 of the ARA. The future cash flows used in the Wrobel Report are assumed to consist of all payments that would owed to WSSC, *including* the amounts that would hypothetically be due under the Termination Obligation of Section 4.2 of the ARA; thus the two payments taken together would be redundant. Furthermore, the Wrobel Report does not identify the discount rate used in Schedule 3 or 4, although one could determine the implied discount rate based on those Schedules.

# Past Losses and Future Lease Obligations – BD SoCal

- 27. For this measure of damage, the Wrobel Report assumes that WSC induced WSSC to open two offices in the San Diego area: Encinitas and Little Italy. However, WSSC neither opens nor runs Windermere real estate offices; rather one of WSSC responsibilities was to offer Windermere franchises to prospective real estate brokers for them to open and run. The fact that losses were incurred in those two offices was not new to B&D SoCal, as B&D SoCal had incurred significant losses in 2011, 2012, and 2013 well before the Encinitas and Little Italy offices were opened.
- 28. The majority of the losses calculated for this category relate to past losses, primarily at the Little Italy location. Startup costs are typical for a new location and again, this was known by WSSC and B&D SoCal management before they opened these locations. Assuming it is adjudicated that WSC did improperly induce B&D SoCal to open these two offices, there is no reason B&D SoCal would not be able to sublet the Encinitas office for the remaining lease period and thereby mitigate those alleged damages. By assuming no mitigation was available to B&D SoCal to offset the lease costs of these two locations, the Wrobel Report overstates these alleged damages and violates generally accepted damages standards. The implication is that B&D SoCal's damages are equal to the lease expense it has incurred, or is expected to, incur. This is an oversimplification of a typically complex measure of damages.

## Net Unreimbursed Windermere Watch Expenses

29. The last category of damages in the Wrobel Report relate to alleged "Net Unreimbursed Windermere Watch Expenses" and are laid out on Schedule 8 of the Wrobel Report. As noted in the Summary of Rebuttal Opinions section of this report, these damages are based on a legal finding that has not yet occurred. Assuming WSC is found liable for these extra expenses, the calculation of the amount due to WSSC does not require expert assistance as the calculation is simple math.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

- 29. It is my opinion that the Wrobel Report incorrectly calculates and materially overstates the net value of WSSC under the valuation formula set forth in the WSSC Area Representation Agreement. Furthermore, these valuation calculations are flawed and do not comport with generally accepted valuation principles, resulting in an unreliable and inaccurate damages conclusion.
- 30. It is also my opinion that the Wrobel Report's damages related to improperly withheld settlement amounts are redundant with the net value of WSSC since the settlement payments would be part of future payments under the Termination Obligation of Section 4.2 of the ARA. However, even if it is adjudicated that such settlement amounts are due to WSSC, the calculation is a simple accounting exercise which does not require expert opinion.
- 31. The Wrobel Report assumes that WSC induced WSSC to open two offices in the San Diego area, Encinitas and Little Italy, and therefore claims that WSC is liable for these two offices' past and future losses and lease costs. The Wrobel Report does not provide a basis for the assumption that WSC induced WSSC to open these two locations and therefore it is my opinion that this measure of damages is improper. However, assuming that it is adjudicated that WSC somehow illegally induced WSSC to open the two offices, it is my opinion that the Wrobel Report overstates the damages related to WSC's alleged act.
- 32. The last measure of damage, i.e., net unreimbursed Windermere Watch expenses, is a simple accounting exercise assuming it is adjudicated that such costs were, in fact, unreimbursed. As such, I have no expert rebuttal opinion for the calculations made in the Wrobel Report since those costs can be measured without the need of an expert.

# **FEES**

- 33. Alvarez & Marsal Valuation Services is compensated for my time on this matter at an hourly rate of \$500. In addition to my own time, I directed other Alvarez & Marsal professionals who performed supporting work and analyses in connection with my preparation of this report. Their hourly rates range from \$175 to \$450 per hour.
- 34. I completed this report on March 3, 2017.

**SIGNATURE** 

Neil/J. Beaton/CPA/ABV/CFF, CFA, ASA

Managing Director

# **CURRICULUM VITAE**

# NEIL J. BEATON, CPA/ABV/CFF, CFA, ASA

### PROFESSIONAL EMPHASIS

Managing Director at Alvarez & Marsal Valuation Services, LLC, specializing in the valuation of businesses, business interests and intangible assets for purposes of financial reporting, incentive stock options, litigation support (marriage dissolutions, lost profits claims), mergers and acquisitions, buy-sell agreements, and estate planning and taxation. Also performs economic analysis for personal injury claims and wrongful death actions.

## PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS

Certified Public Accountant (CPA): Washington, 1990

American Institute of CPAs and Washington Society of CPAs

Former Co-Chair of the AICPA Valuation of Private Equity Securities Task Force

Former Member of the AICPA ABV Exam Committee

Former Committee Member of AICPA Business Valuation Subcommittee

Former Chair of the AICPA FAS 141/142 Task Force

Former Member of the AICPA National Accreditation Commission for Business Valuation

Former Member of the AICPA Merger & Acquisition Disputes Task Force

Accredited in Business Valuation (ABV)

Certified in Financial Forensics (CFF)

Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA), 1992

Past President and Trustee of Seattle Society of Financial Analysts

Member of the CFA Institute

Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA), 1994

American Society of Appraisers

Member of the Business Valuation Update Editorial Advisory Board

Panel of Experts, Financial Valuation and Litigation Expert

Editorial Board of the National Association of Certified Valuation Analysts, Value Examiner

Former Member of the FASB Valuation Resource Group

# **EDUCATION**

Master of Business Administration, Finance, National University, 1983

Bachelor of Arts Degree, Economics, Stanford University, 1980

Numerous continuing education classes in the areas of accounting, taxation and business valuation

#### PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Alvarez & Marsal Valuation Services, LLC (2012–Present)

Grant Thornton LLP (2003-2012)

Brueggeman and Johnson, P.C. and predecessor entity (1989–2002)

Dun & Bradstreet Corporation. National Business Analyst (1981–1989) — Responsible for analyzing large, publicly traded corporations and assisting in large-scale credit decisions. Specialized in banking, insurance and financial services industries.

# **DEPOSITION TESTIMONY**

DEPUS	SITION TESTIMONY		
Date	Case Name	Type of Business	Jurisdiction
4/13	Taylor v. Intuitive Surgical, Inc.	Robotic Surgery Equipment	Kitsap County Superior Court
4/13	Noble v. Noble	Real Estate Management	King County Superior Court
5/13	Willard v. City of Everett	Auto Body Mechanic	U.S. District Court, Western District of WA
5/13	Noble v. Noble	Real Estate Management	King County Superior Court
5/13	Arthur "Bill" Barnum, et al. v. State of Washington, et al.	High School Education	Pierce County Superior Court
7/13	EagleView Technologies, Inc. v. Xactware Solutions, Inc.	Custom Computer Software	U.S. District Court Western District of Washington
7/13	Hollywood Media Corp., et al. v. AMC Entertainment Inc.	Internet Movie Ticketing	Circuit Court, 15 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District, Palm Beach, FL
7/13	Casino Marketing Alliance, LLC v. Pinnacle Entertainment	Software Analytics	American Arbitration Association, Commercial
8/13	Syrdal, Daniel v. Chalmers	Attorney	King County Superior Court
8/13	Trianon, LLC v. Carpenters Tower, et al.	Office Building	King County Superior Court
8/13	Mod Pizza v. Pieology/Chang	Restaurant Operations	U.S. District Court Western District of Washington
8/13	Bonanza Fuel v. Delta Western	Wholesale Oil Distribution	U.S. District Court for the District of Alaska
8/13	Noble v. Tallman Building, LLC	Property Management	King County Superior Court
9/13	KDC Foods, Inc., v. Gray, Plant, Mooty, et al.	Food Preparation	U.S. District Court Western District of Wisconsin
10/13	Strong v. Rudin, et al.	Engineer	King County Superior Court
11/13	Mitchell, et al. v. Price, et al.	Real Estate Investment Fund	Pierce County Superior Court
11/13	REC Solar Grade Silicon v. Grant County, WA	Polysilicon Manufacturing	Washington State Board of Tax Appeals
12/13	Intelio Technologies, Inc., v. Ryko Solutions, Inc.	Car Wash Equipment Manufacturing	American Arbitration Association, Chicago, IL
1/14	In re: Plant Insulation Company – Bayside Insulation & Construction, Inc.	Insulation Contractor	U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California
1/14	Rachel Rozman Cooley v. State of Washington, et al.	High School Education	Pierce County Superior Court
1/14	Pikover v. EagleView Technologies, Inc.	Aerial Measurement Services	Snohomish County Superior Court
3/14	Howard Oppenheimer, et al. v. Carl Bianco, et al.	Real Estate Investment	King County Superior Court
4/14	Baylor Medical Center at Frisco v. Bledsoe and Willis	Health Care System	U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas
4/14	Maytown Sand and Gravel, LLC v. Thurston County, et al.	Gravel Mine	Lewis County Superior Court

TESTI	MONY SUMMARY – LAST 4 YEARS	NEIL J. BEATON,	, CPA/ABV/CFF, CFA, ASA
5/14	Global Enterprises, LLC v. Montgomery Purdue Blankinship & Austin PLLC	Boat Charter	U.S. District Court Western District of Washington
6/14	The Shaw Group, Inc., et al. v. Zurich American Insurance Company, et al.	Pipe Fabricator	U.S. District Court Middle District of Louisiana
7/14	Wilson v. Wilson	Professional Athlete	King County Superior Court
7/14	Dennis Moran, et al. v. Monitor Liability Managers, LLC, et al	Attorney	King County Superior Court
8/14	Sheard and Martin v. Robert Polakoff	Pharmacologist	King County Superior Court
9/14	Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, et al. v. Damian J. Greene Insurance Agency, Inc.	Insurance Brokerage	King County Superior Court
9/14	Anderson News, LLC, et al. v. American Media, Inc., et al.	Wholesale Magazine Distribution	U.S. District Court Southern District of New York
10/14	Sinner, et al. v. Conner, et al.	Winery Real Estate	Snohomish County Superior Court
10/14	CampusPoint Corporation v. Granlund	Staffing Company	King County Superior Court
10/14	Milette v. Magnetic & Penetrant Services Co., Inc.	Metal Coating & Finishing	Arbitration – Seattle, WA
11/14	Kawasaki Heavy Industries, Ltd. v. Bombardier Recreational Products, Inc., et al.	Personal Watercraft Manufacturing	Private Arbitration – Chicago, IL
11/14	AccessData Group, LLC v. Thompson, et al.	Cyber Security Software	Arbitration – Salt Lake City, UT
11/14	Chong Sun Kyong v. Sung Ho Kim	Financial Executive	King County Superior Court
12/14	Western Mortgage v. Key Bank	Financial Instruments	U.S. District Court - Idaho
1/15	Brian Wurts v. City of Lakewood, et al.	Police Officer	U.S. District Court Western District of Washington
1/15	Hansen v. Hansen	Bail Bond Agency	King County Superior Court
1/15	Hoffman v. Integrale Investments, LLC, Keith Knutsson, and PCGL, LLC	Real Estate Development	Circuit Court, 13 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District, Tampa, FL
2/15	Vasudeva Mahavisno v. Compendia Biosciences, Inc. and Life Technologies Corporation	Drug Discovery Software	U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division
3/15	Susan Camicia v. City of Mercer Island, et al.	Legal Secretary	King County Superior Court
5/15	DeRosa v. Aggressive Transport, Ltd.	College Education	Pierce County Superior Court
5/15	Philippe Charriol International Limited v. A'Lor International Limited	Jewelry Manufacturing	U.S. District Court, Southern District of California
7/15	The Patriot Group, LLC v. Hilco Enterprise Valuation Services, LLC	Valuation Services	Cook County Circuit Court, Illinois County Department
9/15	Alpha Pro Tech, Inc. v. VWR International LLC	Clean Room Apparel Manufacturer	U. S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania
10/15	Thomson v. HMC Group and Torrance Memorial Medical Center, et al.	Hospital Design/Billing	U.S. District Court, Central District of California
10/15	Moe, et al. v. Radiant Global Logistics, Inc.	Transportation Logistics	King County Superior Court
11/15	CH2O, Inc. v. Meras Engineering, Inc.	Specialty Chemical Manufacturing	Thurston County Superior Court
12/15	Nautilus, Inc. v. Gary D. Piaget d/b/a Piaget Associates	Exercise Equipment	Arbitration – Vancouver, WA

TESTIN	MONY SUMMARY – LAST 4 YEARS	NEIL J. BEATON	, CPA/ABV/CFF, CFA, ASA
12/15	Spokane Rock I, LLC, v. Doty, Beardsley, Rosengren & Co., P.S.	Property Development/ Management	Pierce County Superior Court
1/16	Sandra S. Noreen v. Michael W. Bugni, et al.	Book Royalties	King County Superior Court
1/16	Marx v. Shelby	Wholesale Gourmet Foods	King County Superior Court
2/16	McLean, et al. v. Coleman-Davies Pearson, P.C.	Freight Trucking	King County Superior Court
2/16	Wood v. Wood	Start-up Companies	Jefferson County Circuit Court, Kentucky
3/16	Lysa Catlin v. RPM Mortgage, Inc.	Mortgage Broker	Arbitration – Bellevue, WA
3/16	In re: Capitol Lakes, Inc.	Retirement Community	U.S. Bankruptcy Court, W. D. of Wisconsin
5/16	Larry Richards v. Thermal Hydra Plastics, LLC, d/b/a Clearwater Spas, et al.	Spa Manufacturer	King County Superior Court
5/16	DeWitt v. DeWitt	HVAC Control Systems	Benton County Superior Court
5/16	SmartMed, Inc. v. FirstChoice Medical Group, Inc.	Healthcare Consulting	Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services
5/16	Education Logistics, Inc., et al. v. Datsopoulos, MacDonald & Lind, PLLP, et al.	Transportation Logistics Software	4 <sup>th</sup> Judicial Court of Montana, Missoula County
6/16	In re: Aeropostale, Inc.	Specialty Clothing Retailer	U.S. Bankruptcy Court, S. D. of New York
6/16	Telecom Transport Management, Inc. v. AT&T Corp.	Telecommunications Services	Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services
6/16	Ryan M. Pszonka, et al. v. Snohomish County, et al.	Natural Disaster/Oso Landslide	King County Superior Court
7/16	BP West Coast Products LLC v. Keith Willnauer, Whatcom County Assessor	Oil & Gas Refinery	Washington State Board of Tax Appeals
7/16	Kevin Wilson v. Eurofins Environment Testing US Holdings, Inc., et al.	Testing Laboratories	King County Superior Court
7/16	Estate of Jacob A. Steinle v. Munchbar, et al.	Search Engine Optimization	King County Superior Court
8/16	Monster Energy Company v. Olympic Eagle Distributing	Beverage Distributor	Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services
9/16	Ronald Fitz Reed LLC v. Alan S. Wischnesky LLC	Network Hardware and Equipment Retailer	King County Superior Court
9/16	GDS Holding, Inc. v. Humberstone	Marine Construction & Salvage Services	King County Superior Court
11/16	Thompson v. Thompson	Integrated logistics & training	Circuit Court of Madison County, Alabama
11/16	Harlandale Independent School District v. Ingersoll-Rand Company and Trane America LLC, et al.	HVAC Systems	Bexar County District Court, Texas
11/16	Aster Minds Enterprise Solutions Private Limited v. Microsoft Global Services Center, et al.	IT Consulting	King County Superior Court
12/16	Lamington Resources, Inc., et al. v. Burger King Corporation	Restaurant Franchise	International Court of Arbitration
1/17	FlowWorks, Inc. v. Timothy Hicks	Environmental Analytics Software	King County Superior Court

TESTI	MONY SUMMARY – LAST 4 YEARS	NEIL J. BEATON,	CPA/ABV/CFF, CFA, ASA
1/17	Haner v. Haner	Promotional Products	King County Superior Court
2/17	Ross L. McMahon, M.D., v. Swedish Health Services	Bariatric Surgeon	Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services
ARBIT	RATION/MEDIATION TESTIMONY		
Date	Case Name	Type of Business	Jurisdiction
1/13	Hazelmann v. Hazelmann	Trial Consulting Services	King County Superior Court
1/13	Armintrout v. Armintrout	Tracing; Spec Homes	King County Superior Court
4/13	Hill v. Nickerson	Economic Consulting	King County Superior Court
6/13	Harris v. State Farm Insurance	Bio-Feedback Consulting	King County Superior Court
7/13	Edmonds Hardware, LLC v. Grace Architects PLLC	Retail Ace Hardware Store	King County Superior Court
9/13	Casino Marketing Alliance v. Pinnacle Entertainment, Inc.	Software Analytics	American Arbitration Association – San Francisco
11/13	REC Solar Grade Silicon v. Grant County, WA	Polysilicon Manufacturing	Washington State Board of Tax Appeals
12/13	Chapman v. Chapman	Real Estate Advisory	King County Superior Court
12/13	Wilcox v. Wilcox	Attorney	King County Superior Court
1/14	EnerSys Delaware Inc. v. Altergy Systems	Fuel Cell Manufacturing	American Arbitration Association – San Francisco
2/14	Intelio Technologies, Inc., v. Ryko Solutions, Inc.	Car Wash Equipment Manufacturing	American Arbitration Association – Chicago, IL
7/14	Wilson v. Wilson	Professional Athlete	King County Superior Court
8/14	Brandt, et al. v. Brandt	Integrated Fruit Farms	Alternative Dispute Resolution
8/14	Murray v. Murray	Building Material Manufacturing	King County Superior Court
9/14	Wong v. Skoczkowski	Mobile Software Solutions	Toronto, Ontario, Canada
9/14	Dye v. Dye	Wine Distribution	Arbitration – Oakland, CA
10/14	Sinner, et al. v. Conner, et al.	Winery Real Estate	Arbitration – Seattle, WA
10/14	Miles Resources, LLC, v. Summerwood Park Holdings, LLC	Real Estate Development	Arbitration – Seattle, WA
10/14	Milette v. Magnetic & Penetrant Services Co., Inc.	Metal Coating & Finishing	Arbitration – Seattle, WA
11/14	Strawn v. Strawn	Scanning and Imaging	King County Superior Court
11/14	Software Forensics, Inc. v. Eric Thompson, et al.	eDiscovery, Security Software	Arbitration – Salt Lake City, UT
12/14	Hansen v. Hansen	Bail Bond Agency	Judicial Dispute Resolution
2/15	Kawasaki Heavy Industries, Ltd. v. Bombardier Recreational Products, Inc., et al.	Personal Watercraft Manufacturing	Private Arbitration – Chicago, IL
5/15	Leslie v. Leslie	CPA Firm	King County Superior Court
9/15	van Loben Sels v. van Loben Sels	Tax Consulting Firm	Superior Court of California, San Mateo County
1/16	Nielsen v. Nielsen	General Contractor	King County Superior Court

TESTI	MONY SUMMARY – LAST 4 YEARS	NEIL J. BEATON,	CPA/ABV/CFF, CFA, ASA
4/16	Doyle v. Doyle	Weight Loss Clinics	King County Superior Court
4/16	McCleskey v. McCleskey	Commercial and Institutional Construction	King County Superior Court
8/16	SmartMed, Inc. v. FirstChoice Medical Group, Inc.	Healthcare Consulting	Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services
9/16	Monster Energy Company v. Olympic Eagle Distributing	Beverage Distributor	Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services
9/16	Heayoon Woo v. Machine Zone, Inc.	Online Gaming Software	Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services
1/17	Lamington Resources, Inc., et al. v. Burger King Corporation	Restaurant Franchise	International Court of Arbitration
2/17	Bahraini International Medicine Manufacturing Company W.L.L., v. Vanguard Pharmaceutical Machinery, LLC, et al.	Pharmaceutical Development & Manufacturing	International Chamber of Commerce
	TESTIMONY	Type of Business	Jurisdiction
Date	Case Name	Wholesale Software	King County Superior Court
1/13	James v. James	wholesale Software	
1/13	Armintrout v. Armintrout	Tracing; Spec Homes	King County Superior Court
4/13	Wadhwa v. Wadhwa	Solar Power Plant	Superior Court of California, Contra Costa County
6/13	Milling v. Hummel	Wholesale Biologic Supplies	13 <sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit Court, Hillsborough County, FL
10/13	Noble v. Noble	Real Estate Management	King County Superior Court
10/13	Arthur "Bill" Barnum, et al. v. State of Washington, et al.	High School Education	Pierce County Superior Court
12/13	Dean Wilcox v. Bartlett Services, Inc., et al.	Millwright	Benton County District Court
1/14	In re: Plant Insulation Company – Bayside Insulation & Construction, Inc.	Insulation Contractor	U.S. Bankruptcy Court, N. D. of California
2/14	Robert R. Mitchell, et al. v. Michael A. Price	Mortgage Originator	Pierce County Superior Court
3/14	Malcolm v. Malcolm	Consumer Electronics Manufacturer	Pitkin County District Court of Colorado
4/14	REC Solar Grade Silicon v. Grant County, WA	Polysilicon Manufacturing	Washington State Board of Tax Appeals
6/14	Pikover v. EagleView Technologies, Inc.	Aerial Measurement Services	Snohomish County Superior Court
7/14	Maytown Sand and Gravel, LLC v. Thurston County, et al.	Gravel Mine	Lewis County Superior Court
9/14	Recreational Data Services, LLC v. Trimble Navigation Limited, et al.	Software Development Services	Superior Court of AK, 3 <sup>rd</sup> District at Anchorage
10/14	Estate of Sheard v. Robert Polakoff	Pharmacologist	King County Superior Court
11/14	Virshbo v. Virshbo	Intelligent Transportation Systems	Multnomah County Circuit Court, Oregon
12/14	Wong v. Skoczkowski	Mobile Software Solutions	Toronto, Ontario, Canada

TESTI	MONY SUMMARY – LAST 4 YEARS	NEIL J. BEATON,	CPA/ABV/CFF, CFA, ASA
2/15	Hoffman v. Integrale Investments, LLC, Keith Knutsson, and PCGL, LLC	Real Estate Development	Circuit Court, 13 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District, Tampa, FL
3/15	Hansen v. Hansen	Bail Bond Agency	King County Superior Court
3/15	Hobbs v. Hobbs	Authentication Software	King County Superior Court
4/15	Moran v. Moran	Restaurant Franchise	<b>Boulder County District Court</b>
8/15	Donatelli v. D.R. Strong Consulting Engineers	Real Estate Development	King County Superior Court
12/15	vonAllmen v. vonAllmen	Stock Options	King County Superior Court
1/16	Moe, et al. v. Radiant Global Logistics, Inc.	Transportation Logistics	King County Superior Court
3/16	John J. Mutchler v. State of Washington, Department of Labor & Industries	State Employee	Thurston County District Court
4/16	In re: Capitol Lakes, Inc.	Retirement Community	U.S. Bankruptcy Court, W. D. of Wisconsin
6/16	Farmers Insurance Company of Washington, et al. v. Damian J. Greene Insurance Agency, Inc.	Insurance Brokerage	King County Superior Court
7/16	Marx v. Shelby	Wholesale Gourmet Foods	King County Superior Court
9/16	Libby v. Libby	Real Estate Holdings	King County Superior Court
12/16	GDS Holdings, Inc., et al. v. Humberstone	Offshore Services	King County Superior Court
12/16	Miller v. Ford Law Offices, et al.	Residential Lending	Spokane County Superior Court
2/17	ADC Venture 2011-2 LLC v. BJBDJC, Inc., et al.	Wholesale Hair Pieces	Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah

VENUE	SPONSOR	DATE	SUBJECT
2017 Forensic & Valuation Services Conference - Ft. Lauderdale, FL	FICPA	Jan 2017	Dual Classes of Stock: Dueling Theories of Valuation
2016 AICPA Forensic & Valuation Services Conference - Nashville, TN	AICPA	Nov 2016	Litigation Report Writing; The Good, Bad, and Ugly Use of Demonstrative Exhibits
2016 ABV Examination Review	AICPA	Nov 2016	ABV Examination Review Course
2016 Regional Specialty Conference Week - Toronto, Canada	NACVA	Sep 2016	Nuances of International Valuation Procedures and Discovery
2016 Advanced Business Valuation Conference - Boca Raton, FL	ASA	Sep 2016	Valuing Foreign Acquisitions
2016 Forensic Accounting and Business Valuation Conference - Louisville, KY	KyCPA	Aug 2016	Economic Damages for Start-Up and Emerging Businesses; Valuing Emerging Businesses
2016 NAAATS Conference	AICPA	Jul 2016	Fair Value Issues: New Developments
BVR Web Seminar	BVR	Jun 2016	Current Trends in 409A Valuations
AICPA/AAML National Conference on Divorce - New Orleans	AICPA	May 2016	Valuation of Stock Options, Appreciation Rights and Other Equity Compensation
2016 New York International Family Law Symposium	IAFL New York Chapter	Apr 2016	Discovery of International Financial Documentation
2016 Complex Family Law: As Experts See It	AAML Washington State Chapter	Mar 2016	How Attorneys Can Work With a Financial Expert
2015 AICPA Forensic & Valuation Services Conference	AICPA	Nov 2015	Reconciliation and Asset Approach; Report Writing
ABA Section of Family Law - 2015 Fall CLE Conference - Portland, OR	American Bar Association	Oct 2015	Valuation Essentials
AICPA Expert Witness Skills Workshop - Chicago, IL	AICPA	Oct 2015	Expert Witness Training
Complex and High Asset Divorce: A Focus on the Money	The Seminar Group	Sep 2015	Interpreting Tax Returns & International Valuation Issues
AICPA Expert Witness Workshop - Webcast	AICPA	Sep 2015	Business Valuations in Litigation: The Basics
AICPA Forensic & Valuation Services Webcast	AICPA	Jul 2015	Navigating Mergers & Acquisitions: Understanding Mergers & Acquisitions Disputes
Colorado CLE	Colorado Bar Association	Jun 2015	Lost Profits and Economic Damages: A Case Study Approach
BVR Web Seminar	BVR	May 2015	Divorce & IP: Are Patent Rights, Copyrights, Trademarks Still Tied Up After the Knot Gets Untied?
2015 AICPA/AAML Family Law Conference - Las Vegas	AICPA	May 2015	Family Law Overview and Overcoming the Catch 22; Bolstering your Testimony through Demonstratives in the Courtroom
YPO-WPO - Webinar	Deal Global Business Network	Apr 2015	The Ever Changing Value of Valuation
NYS CLE Board - New York Chapter Meeting	AAML New York Chapter	Mar 2015	Secondary Stock Markets are the New Primary Issue

VENUE	SPONSOR	DATE	SUBJECT
2014 AICPA Forensic & Valuation Services Conference	AICPA	Nov 2014	Growing Your Practice & Balancing it All; Reconciliation and Asset Approach Discussion; Complex Capital Valuations
2014 ASA/CICBV Joint Business Valuation Conference - Toronto, ON	ASA/CICBV	Oct 2014	Secondary Transactions Considerations and Implications
6th Annual Wechsler Family Law Symposium	AAML Washington State Chapter	Oct 2014	Analyzing Tax Returns to Determine Income and Identify Assets
The Value Examiner	NACVA	Sep 2014	Are You Ready for Some Football? Insights into NFL Team Valuations
AICPA Expert Witness Skills Webcast	AICPA	Jul 2014	Business Valuation in Litigation - Useful Tips
International Academy of Matrimonial Laywers, US Chapter - New York	IAML US Chapter	May 2014	International Business Valuation: Everything You Always Wanted To Know But Were Afraid To Ask
AICPA/AAML National Conference on Divorce - Las Vegas	AICPA	Apr 2014	Intellectual Property: Identification, Classification/Characterization, Valuation and Distribution
Wealth Blog	Wealthfront, Inc.	Apr 2014	The Reason Offer Letters Don't Include a Strike Price
AICPA Forensic & Valuation Services Conference - Las Vegas	AICPA	Nov 2013	Top Commercial Litigation Engagements; Valuation of Privately-Held Company Equity Securities
2013 Business Valuation and Services Conference - Houston	Texas Society of CPAs	Oct 2013	Overview of the AICPA's M&A Disputes Practice Aid
WSBA CLE - Seattle	AAML Washington State Chapter	Oct 2013	Strategies for Valuing Businesses or Assets that have Limited Cash Flow
BVR Web Seminar	BVR	Oct 2013	Calculating Lost Profits for Early Stage Companies
Egyptian Private Equity Association - Cairo	Financial Services Volunteer Corps	Jun 2013	Egyptian Equity Valuation and Modeling
NACVA National Consultants' Conference	NACVA	Jun 2013	Top Five Commercial Litigation Assignments You're Missing Out On
AICPA Web Seminar	AICPA	May 2013	Overview of the Newly-Released AICPA Cheap Stock Practice Aid
2nd Annual Million Dollar Divorce	The Seminar Group	Apr 2013	Overview of Business Valuation
BVR Web Seminar	BVR	Apr 2013	Lost Profits v. Lost Business Value
Standards of Value	John Wiley & Sons, Inc.	Mar 2013	Chapter 6: Fair Value in Financial Reporting: What Is It?
19th Annual Family Law Conference	AAML Washington State Chapter	Mar 2013	Top Tips Related to Income Adjustments and Property Splits
Forensic & Valuation Services Practice Aid	AICPA	2013	Mergers and Acquisitions Dispute, co- author
AICPA National BV Conference	AICPA	Nov 2012	Fair Value Issues; Valuation of Business with International Operations
Advanced Business Valuation Conference	American Society of Appraisers	Oct 2012	Valuation Using Advanced Option-based Methods
13 <sup>th</sup> Annual VSCPA BV, Fraud & Lit Conference	Virginia Society of CPAs	Sep 2012	Valuing Early Stage Companies in General and in Litigation

VENUE	SPONSOR	DATE	SUBJECT
Annual New Jersey State NACVA	New Jersey State	Sep 2012	Lost Profits v. Lost Business Value
Conference	NACVA		
AICPA Web Seminar	AICPA/AAML	Jun 2012	Tips, Tricks, Traps and Emerging Issues for the Expert Witness
BVR Web Seminar	BVR	May 2012	Divorce and IP: Are Patent Rights, Copyrights, Trademarks Still Tied Up After the Knot Gets Untied?
National Conference on Divorce	AICPA/AAML	May 2012	Divorce and IP: Are Patent Rights, Copyrights, Trademarks Still Tied Up After the Knot Gets Untied?; Valuing Assets Outside the U.S.: Why Doesn't Everyone Play by Our Rules?
2011 Fair Value Congress	NACVA	Feb 2012	AICPA Cheap Stock Practice Aid Update
FVS Web Seminar	AICPA	Jan 2012	Valuations for Dissenting Stockholder & Minority Oppression Actions
AICPA Accounting and Valuation Guide	AICPA	2012	Valuation of Privately-Held-Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation , co-author
BVR Web Seminar	BVR	Dec 2011	Delaware Chancery Roundtable: Views from the Bench, Counsel & Witness Stand
AICPA National BV Conference	AICPA	Nov 2011	Betting on the Future: The Outlook for the Business Valuation Profession; Cost of Capital: Practical Solutions in an Impractical World; Caught in the Crossfire: The Expert Witness for Valuation; Update of Final Comments on Cheap Stock Practice Aid; Marketing & Management of a Valuation Practice
AICPA National Forensic Conf.	AICPA	Sep 2011	Damages for Newly Formed Entities
Business Valuation & Family Law Sections Joint Meeting	California Society of CPAs, Family Law Litigation Section	May 2011	Challenges of Valuing Early Stage Companies in General and for Litigation
FEI Portland	Financial Executives International	May 2011	The Front Lines of Business Valuation
Financial Valuation Application and Models, Third Edition	John Wiley & Sons, Inc.	2011	Chapter 24: Other Valuation Services Areas, co-author
The Comprehensive Guide to Lost	<b>Business Valuation</b>	2011	Chapter 11: Calculating Damages for
Profits Damages for Experts and Attorneys, 2011 Edition	Resources		Early-Stage Companies, co-author
BVR Web Seminar	BVR	Dec 2010	409A Valuation Issues
AICPA National BV Conference	AICPA	Nov 2010	Review of the Updated AICPA Cheap Stock Practice Aid
The Knowledge Congress Live Webcast Series	The Knowledge Group, LLC	Oct 2010	Commercial Damages: Overview and Cross Examination - Bullet Proof or Bullet Holes
BVR Web Seminar	BVR	Oct 2010	Reasonable Certainty and Lost Profits in Early Stage Cos.
World Financial Symposium	Davis Wright Tremaine	Oct 2010	Factors that Increase Private Company Valuations

VENUE	SPONSOR	DATE	SUBJECT
AICPA National Forensic Conference	AICPA	Oct 2010	Shareholder Oppression and Dissenter Suits; Lost Profits v. Valuation in Litigation
Forensic & Valuation Services Web Seminar	AICPA	Sep 2010	Practical Implementation Issues Regarding FV Issues in Business Combinations
The Value Examiner	NACVA	Jun 2010	Discounts for Early-Stage Companies
ACG InterGrowth 2010 Conference	Assn. for Corporate Growth	May 2010	Do Financial Sellers Get a Better Deal?
Valuing Early Stage and Venture- Backed Companies	John Wiley & Sons, Inc.	Apr 2010	Advanced Valuation Techniques for Early Stage Companies
3rd Annual Summit on Fair Value for Financial Reporting	Business Valuation Resources	Feb 2010	Advanced Workshop on Financial Reporting for Stock Options Under 409A/123R
Minnesota Business Valuation Conference	American Society of Appraisers - Minneapolis	Jan 2010	Valuation of Intellectual Property
TMA Meeting Series	Turnaround Management Association	Jan 2010	Business Value in Uncertain Markets
BVR Practice Guide Series	Business Valuation Resources	Jan 2010	Valuations for IRC 409A Compliance
Valuation Strategies Magazine	Thomson Reuters	Nov 2009	Volatility in the Option Pricing Model
Business Valuation Committee 2009 Fair Value Summit	ASA	Nov 2009	Update on Practice Aid: Valuation of Early Stage Companies
Fair Value Measurement Conference	AICPA	Jun 2009	Private Equity Issues under FAS 157
2009 Annual Consultants' Conference	NACVA and the IBA	May 2009	IFRS v. U.S. GAAP: What You Need to Know
2009 Business Valuation Conference	Illinois CPA Society	May 2009	Uses and Abuses of Management Projections
Valcon 09: Risks, Restructurings, Real Estate and Retail	American Bankruptcy Institute	Feb 2009	The Impact of Globalization on Valuation of Distressed Debt and Businesses
2009 ACG West Coast Mergers & Acquisitions Conference	ACG of San Francisco	Feb 2009	Price v. Value: Bridging the Gap in a Down Economy
2nd Annual Summit on Fair Value for Financial Reporting	Business Valuation Resources	Feb 2009	Current Issues in 123R/409A and Mock Audit Review for FAS 141 and 142
Annual Private Equity COOs and CFOs Forum	Private Equity International	Jan 2009	Panel: International Accounting and Valuation Standards – Convergence or Divergence?
Accountants' Handbook - Eleventh Edition 2009 Supplement	John Wiley & Sons, Inc.	Jan 2009	Valuation of Assets, Liabilities, and Non Public Companies (revised)
Knowledge of Business Valuation - LIVE Webinar	Business Valuation Resources	Dec 2008	The Uses and Abuses of Management Projections
2008 AICPA/ASA Joint Business Valuation Conference	AICPA/ASA	Nov 2008	"Sticky Wickets" Related to 409A Valuations; Discount Techniques for Early Stage Companies
Business Valuation Basics	WSCPA/AICPA	Nov 2008	Business Valuation: A Real Life Case Study
ABV Examination Review	AICPA	Oct 2008	The Body of Business Valuation Knowledge

VENUE	SPONSOR	DATE	SUBJECT
IRC Section 409A: Deadline Looming -	The Knowledge	Oct 2008	409A Stock Option Valuations: Does
Are You Prepared? LIVE Webinar	Congress		Current Valuation Practice Match the
			Regulations
BVR Thought Leadership Series	<b>Business Valuation</b>	Aug 2008	The Uses & Abuses of Management
	Resources		Projections - Creating a Solid Framework
			for Financial Performance Analysis
2008 PNW Growth Financing Conf.	Association for	Aug 2008	Price versus Value: Bridging the Gap
	Corporate Growth		
VPS FCG Webinar Series	Financial Consulting	May 2008	DLOM: Quantitative vs. Qualitative Models
	Group		
Business Valuation Standards across the	Strafford Publications	May 2008	Business Valuation: Mastering Changes in
Association Landscape			Key Standards
The Birth, Life, and Death of Law	Washington State Bar	Mar 2008	The Valuation of Law Practices
Practices	Association		
Monthly Litigation Department Meeting	Latham & Watkins, LLP	Mar 2008	Valuation of Intellectual Property in
			Litigation and the Financial Reporting
			Environment
ACG Capital Connection Conference	ACG of Utah	Feb 2008	Lessons Learned From My Worst Deal
Fair Value Summit - New York	BVR and ASA	Feb 2008	Overview of IRC 409A and SFAS 123R
King County Bar Association	Washington State Bar	Dec 2007	Expert Witness and Forensic Accounting
Continuing Legal Education	Association		Issues in Probate Litigation
AICPA National Business Valuation	AICPA	Dec 2007	IRC 409A and SFAS 123R Valuations;
Conference			Risks Along the Technology Life Cycle
Seattle Chapter of the Appraisal Institute	Appraisal Institute	Nov 2007	Practical Applications of Fair Value In a
Fall Conference			Business Combination
ASA Advanced BV Conference	ASA	Oct 2007	Current and Perplexing Issues in
			Implementing 409A and 123R
Teleconference on Understanding the	Strafford Publications	Sep 2007	Understanding SSVS1 and Related
AICPA's SSVS 1			Implementation Tips
Section 409A Teleconference	The Knowledge	Sep 2007	Equity-Based Compensation Arrangements
	Congress		and Valuation Issues
2007 Intellectual Property Institute	WSCPA	Jul 2007	Valuing Intellectual Property
Intangible Valuation Seminar	Gerson Lehrman Group	Jun 2007	Valuing Intellectual Property for Merger & Acquisition Purposes
Global Business Symposium	Asinta	May 2007	IFRS/US GAAP Comparison
ACG Capital Connection Conference	ACG of Utah	May 2007	Train Wreck: Lessons Learned From My
•		•	Worst Deal
Business & Intellectual Property	Law Education Institute	Jan 2007	Intellectual Property Valuation and
Valuations, Economic Damage and			Damages Methodologies
Expert Witness Skills Program			

#### Description

WSSC Franchise Fee Net Valuation.pdf

2372225\_Exhibit\_104\_JillWood.PDF

2372225\_Exhibit\_103\_JiffWood.PDF

Barton Financial Documents

WSSC 2015 Accountants Compilation & Financial Stmts.pdf

WSSC Board Unanimous 2012 thru 2015.pdf

WSSC Shareholders Unanimous 2012 thru 2015.pdf

WSSC statements 1-31-12 thru 12-31-14.pdf !2012&2013 FF & Tech Fees Paid to WRE.pdf !2014&2015 FF&Tech Fees Paid to WRE.pdf

5&6 2016Apr Request final.pdf

7 2016Apr Request - mark pages 12-15.pdf

2015 B&D CV Accountants Review & Financial Stmts.pdf

2015 B&D SoCal Accountants Review & Financial Stmts.pdf

BDFH SoCal Inc Board Unanimous 2012 thru 2015.pdf

BDFH SoCal Inc Shareholders Unanimous 2012 thru 2015.pdf

BDFH SoCal statements 1-31-12 thru 12-31-14.pdf

BDFH, Inc Board Unanimous 2012 thru 2015.pdf

BDFH, Inc Shareholders Unanimous 2012 thru 2015.pdf

BDFH, Inc statements 1-31-12 thru 12-31-13.pdf

BDFH, Inc statements 1-31-14 thru 12-31-14.pdf

**Barton Production Docs** 

WSSC recast p and l.xlsx

WSSC Valuation worksheet.xlsx

WSSC, Inc 2013 P&L (1).xlsx

WSSC, Inc 2013 P&L.xlsx

WSSC, Inc BS 2013.xlsx

WSSC, Inc. 2 12 15 TAX.QBW

WSSC, Inc. 4 26 16.QBW

WSSC, Inc. 8 1 16 pw gator TAX.QBW

WSSC, Inc.1 22 16.QBW

WTB2009.xlsx

!Deferral Loan activty 2010 (2).xls

!WRECV Owes WSSC (1).xls

!WRECV Owes WSSC.xls

9 30 15 Compilation.xls

10 a m Friday - Escrow Source.msg

12 31 09 fin stmts (1).xls

12 31 09 fin stmts.xls

12 31 11 audit workpapers (1).xls

Barton Production Docs, Continued

Untitled.msg

RE Form 8832.msg

RE FTB Tax notice msg

RE FYIP and L (1).msg

RE FYIP and L.msg

Re gross office revenue.msg

RE how many office (1).msg

RE how many office.msg

RE info needed (1).msg

RE info needed (2).msg

RE info needed.msg

RE Insurance Affiliate (1).msg

RE Insurance Affiliate.msg

RE insurance.msg

RE Interest paid for 2013 (1).msg

RE Interest paid for 2013.msg

RE invoices (1).msg

RE invoices.msg

RE IRS Notice .msg

RE Joey (12).msg

RE Joey.msg

RE JP Morgan Chase.msg

RE Keith (1).msg

RE Keith.msg

RE Laurel Tree Note - audit .msg

RE Leaf's (1).msg

RE Leaf's (2).msg

RE Leaf's.msg

RE leased vehicle - Seattle.msg

RE Legal memo dot.msg

RE Loan #000000002.msg

RE Loan Package.msg

RE meeting (2).msg

RE MEETING IN RANCHO MIRAGE.msg

Re meeting.msg

RE New FirstBank Loan.msg

Re NICE TO MEET YOU BOB! (1).msg

RE Note (15).msg

RE Note.msg

#### Description

12 31 11 audit workpapers.xls

12 31 15 Compilation.xls

1099 dispute.msg

1099's (2).msg

1099s and Corporations.msg

1099's.msg

2008 Extensions.msg

2009 Sales Tax Return.pdf

2009 Windermere Serv Fin Draft .pdf

2010 accrual to cash conversion.xls

2011 accrual to cash conversion.xls

2011 Audit Engagement Letter.pdf

2012 Audit vs Book.pdf

2012 Balance Sheet QB vs Audit.xlsx

2012 Jnl entry WRECV.pdf

2012 P&L QB vs Audit (1).xlsx

2012 P&L QB vs Audit.xlsx

2012 YTD P&L 11-26-12.pdf

2012-13 P&L WRE Services.pdf

2014 - WSSC.msg

8295 La Mesa .msg

Account 11145 (1).pdf

Account 11145.pdf

Account 11149 (1).pdf

Account 11149.pdf

account 11157 (1).pdf

account 11157.pdf

B&D LLC.msg

Account 11158 (1).pdf

Account 11158.pdf

Account 11161 (1).pdf

Account 11161.pdf

Account 11162 (1).pdf

Account 11162.pdf

Account 11163 (1).pdf

Account 11163.pdf

Account 11164 (1).pdf

Account 11164.pdf

Account 12005 (1).pdf

Account 12005.pdf

RE OCT - call (10).msg

RE OCT - call (11).msg

RE OCT - call (12).msg

RE OCT - call.msg

RE OCT.msg

RE p and I's (1).msg

RE p and I's (2).msg

RE p and I's.msg

RE payroll retention.msg

RE Professional Reference.msg

RE Property Taxes.msg

RE question on levy notice (1).msg

RE question on levy notice (2).msg

RE question on levy notice (3).msg

RE question on levy notice.msg

RE Question.msg

RE Question-2.msg

RE Range Rover in seattle.msg

Re RE Insurance Affiliate.msg

Re Re Windermere.msg

Re RE winding up audit .msg

RE Receivables and Legal.msg

RE Regarding Orange Coast \$50k.msg

RE revised M-1 and M-2 9 12 14.msg

RE Services (2).msg

RE Services (3).msg

RE Services Audit - 2013.msg

RE Services Audit (1).msg

RE Services Audit (2).msg

RE Services Audit (3).msg

RE services audit.msg

RE Services.msg

RE Settlement Info.msg

RE So-Cal Lending.msg

RE SoCal.msg

RE Tax request (1).msg

RE Tax request.msg

RE Tax return memo docx.msg

RE tax stuff (2).msg

RE tax stuff.msg

#### Description

Accrued Expenses - Taxes.msg

acounting.msg

ADP 401K plan (1).msg

ADP 401K plan.msg

Affiliate Deposits.msg

AJE 1 12 31 10.pdf

AJE 2 12 31 10.pdf

Audit Engagement letter 2012 .msg

audit info.pdf

audit schedules 2010.xls

B&D adjusted valuation.msg

B&D Fine Homes - May 2010.msg

B&D Fne Homes Articles of Inc.pdf

Bal Sheet 11-26-12.pdf

Balance sheet 12 31 13 (1).xlsx

Balance sheet 12 31 13.xlsx

Balance Sheet Roll Forwards.xlsx

Barton agreement.pdf

BD Inc.msg

CV.msg

Bennion & Deville.msg

Benville Inc.msg

Blue Shield Underwriting Letter.pdf

Board of Equalization.msg

Bob's Truck.msg

Books on Portal (1).msg

Books on Portal (2).msg
Books on Portal.msg

Burbank (2) (1).xls

Burbank (2).xls

Business Property Statment.pdf

Capital purchase - Coast.msg

Capital purchases - 2013.msg

Capital Purchases - CV & Coast.msg

Car Lease - Bobs.msg

files.msg

CARMED Amortization.pdf

check register 1 1 12 to 6 7 12.pdf

Citizens Bank (1).msg

Citizens Bank.msg

RE transfers (1).msg

RE transfers (2).msg

RE transfers (3).msg

RE transfers (4).msg

RE transfers.msg

RE Tuesday.msg

RE Update on projects (1).msg

RE Update on projects (2).msg

RE Update on projects.msg

RE Update the books (6).msg

RE Update the books (7).msg

RE Update the books.msg

RE updated P&L for CV (1).msg

RE updated P&L for CV (2).msg

RE updated P&L for CV (3).msg

RE updated P&L for CV.msg

RE Winderemere Services Audit.msg

RE Windermere (1).msg

RE Windermere (2).msg

RE Windermere (3).msg

RE windermere final read (2).msg

RE windermere final read.msg

RE Windermere La Mesa (1).msg

RE Windermere La Mesa .msg

RE Windermere Services (1).msg

RE Windermere Services (2).msg

RE Windermere Services (3).msg

RE Windermere Services (4).msg

RE Windermere Services (5).msg

RE Windermere Services (6).msg

RE Windermere Services (7).msg

RE Windermere Services (8).msg

RE Windermere Services (9).msg

RE Windermere Services (10).msg

RE Windermere Services (17).msg

RE Windermere Services Audit.msg
RE Windermere Services SoCal .msg

RE Windermere Services.msg

RE Windermere.msg

RE winding up audit (1).msg

#### Description

client participation schedule.pdf

Coachella Valley.msg

Compilation Report 9 30 15.docx

Compilation Report 12 31 15.docx

Conf of Notes Payable (1).doc

Conf of Notes Payable.doc

Conf of Notes Rec (1).doc

Conf of Notes Rec.doc

Corp Final Notice before Levy.msg

Cover Page (1).doc

Cover Page (2).doc

Cover Page.doc

CP2100 Notice.msg

CV P&L Jan - May 2015.msg

Date to transmit to IRS.msg

Distributions vs wage increase .msg

Draft 2009 Audit.pdf

EPL Insurance.msg

Escrow Source - contact info.msg

Exclusive PropertiesNote #1 (1).xls

Exclusive PropertiesNote #1.xls

Financial Spreadsheets (1).xlsx

Financial Spreadsheets.xlsx
Financial Statements Cover Page.doc

financial stmts 09,10,11.pdf

financials (2).msg

Financials & Broker Report.msg

Financials.msg

Flow of information (1).doc

Flow of information.doc

follow up.msg

Foundation Account.msg

FTB notice .msg

FTB notice for 2013.msg

FTB notices received (1).msg

FTB notices received.msg

FW (2).msg

FW .msg

FW 12 31 12.msg

FW 1099 Devlin Development (1).msg

RE winding up audit .msg

RE Wiring Instructions .msg

RE WRE CV balance sheet,msg

RE WRECV (1).msg

RE WRECV (2).msg

Re WRECV (3).msg

RE WRECV.msg

RE WSC Audit.msg

RE WSSC Audit - 2010.msg

RE WSSC Audit .msg

RE WSSC Draft 2013 Return.msg

RE Year End Financials (1).msg

RE Year End Financials .msg

RE year end.msg

RECEIVABLES 2011.xlsx

Regarding Orange Coast \$50k.msg

SBOE Login Info.pdf

Secretary of State.msg

Services Audit (2).msg

Services Audit.msg

So-Cal Lending.msg

Statments 12-31-10.pdf

Suspense Bank Transfer (1).msg

Suspense Bank Transfer.msg

Tarbell Case - for WSSC Audit.msg

Tax return memo (1).docx

Tax return memo.docx

Uncategorized Income \$206 000.msg

Update - Backing up the books .msg

updated P&L for CV.msg

We are all set msg

Wells Fargo.msg

Wessman Note (1).pdf

Windermere Resort Properties.msg

Windermere Services Audit (2).msg

Windermere Services Audit.msg

Windermere Services Audit.pdf

WRE Coachella Valley.msg

WRE CV balance sheet.msg

WRE CV loan to SoCal.msg

#### Description

FW 1099 Devlin Development (2).msg WRE CV May 2010.xls

FW 1099 Devlin Development (9).msg WRE Line of Credit.msg

FW 1099 Devlin Development.msg WRE Services Audit Final.msg

FW 2012 Audit vs QuickBooks.msg WRE Services.msg

FW 2014 - WSSC.msg WRE SO CAL .pdf

FW Adjusting entries.msg WRE SoCal YTD P&L 5-31-15.xlsx

FW agent commission checks.msg WREEP 2010 2nd Note Schedule.pdf

FW Assignment of Income.msg WSSC 9 30 15 Compilation.pdf
FW B&D LLC.msg WSSC 2015 Compilation.pdf

FW Balance Sheet 12-31-10 (1).msg WSSC Audit - 2010.msg

FW Balance Sheet 12-31-10 (2).msg WSSC Audit - Attorney Letter.msg

FW Balance Sheet 12-31-10.msg WSSC Audit.msg
FW Books updating.msg WSSC Audit-2.msg

FW CPA meeting.msg 1 1 12 to 5 31 12 general ledger.xls

FW CV Office Listing.msg 2009 Entity Financials - for Citizen's Business Bank.msg

FW Encintas.msg 2009 internal financial statements.pdf

FW Financials - October (5).msg 2012-13 Balance Sheet WRE Services.pdf
FW Financials - October.msg A couple more questions for WSSC audit.msg

FW Financials.msg accrual to cash conversion 12 2009.xls

FW FirstBank Loan.msg Amended Amort Sch note due from WRECV to WSSC\_5-22-12.pdf

FW FirstBank update.msg Balance Sheet & Income Statements\_2012.msg

FW Foundation Account.msg Bennion & Deville Fine Homes\_Valuation\_4-30-14.pdf

FW FYI P and L.msg Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal.msg

FW Loan #000000002.msg Bennion & Deville Fine Homes file.msg
FW Mark Milgard.msg Bylaws - Bennion & Deville Fine Homes Inc

FW Mark Milgard.msg Bylaws - Bennion & Deville Fine Homes Inc..pdf
FW Need your help Patrick.msg Capital Purhcases 2015-Coast Books.msg

FW New Accounts - Wells Fargo.msg Coachella Valley paying back WSSC.msg
FW New Bus JE.msg DEC 2012 WINDERMERE SERVICES SO

FW New Bus JE.msg DEC 2012 WINDERMERE SERVICES SOUTHERN CA.docx
FW New FirstBank Loan.msg DEC 2012 WINDERMERE SERVICES SOUTHERN CA-1.docx

FW OCT.msg DEC 2013 WINDERMERE SERVICES SOUTHERN CA issued.pdf
FW Plane LLC.msg DEC 2011 WINDERMERE SERVICES SOUTHERN CA.docx

DEG 2011 WHO ELOUING

FW Question on 1099's.msg equity roll forward schedule 2008.xls

FW RV (8).msg DEC 2012 WINDERMERE SERVICES SOUTHERN CA final.pdf

FW request for Bylaws (5).msg Deferral agreement with Exclusive Properties.msg

FW request for Bylaws.msg Favor - Business related (politics).msg

FW Resnick note - payback (1).msg Franchise Tax Board - Late Letter.msg

FW RV.msg Franchise Tax Board for 2013 diff for B&D.msg

FW Services Audit - 2013.msg FW Blue Shield Letter for WRE SOCAL (2).msg
FW Services Audit.msg FW Blue Shield Letter for WRE SOCAL.msg

FW Settlement Info.msg FW Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal Inc.,msg

#### Description

FW TIN # X4341.msg

FW Update on projects.msg

FW Windemere Services Southern.msg

FW Windermere (2).msg

FW Windermere Deal Points (1).msg

FW Windermere Deal Points.msg

FW windermere final read.msg

FW Windermere.msg

FW Windermere Services Audit.msg

FW WSSC - Note with WRECV.msg

FW WSSC Audit.msg

FW WSSC Draft 2013 Return.msg

FW year end interest & 1099PRO.msg

FW-1 .msg

Hello.msg

img-119130248-0001.pdf

img-225125414-0001.pdf

img-228100634-0001.pdf

img-304104457-0001.pdf

img-504143735-0001.pdf

img-504143749-0001.pdf

img-521104550-0001.pdf

img-625110811-0001.pdf

Inland Empire (1).xls

Inland Empire.xls

insurance.msg

Interest paid for 2013.msg

La Mesa Update .msg

Lease Financing (1).msg

Lease Financing.msg

leased vehicle - Seattle.msg

Legal memo (1).dot

Legal Memo 2011 (1).doc

Legal Memo 2011.doc

Legal memo.dot

Mark Milgard.msg

Medical Payable.msg

Meeting with Neil Barker today .msg

N Barker .msg

Need your help Patrick.msg

FW BLUE SHIELD COMMON OWNERSHIP UNDERWRITING.msg

FW Blue Shield Letter for WRE SOCAL (1).msg

FW Coachella Valley paying back WSSC.msq.

Note rec WRECV amort Sch 6 30 10.xls

FW CORRECTED P&L for 3 YE 2012 2011 and 2010.msg

FW Deferral agreement with Exclusive Properties.msg

FW Financials - Bob Deville Joseph R Deville.msg

FW Financials - Bob Deville Joseph R Deville (7).msg

FW Franchise Tax Board - Late Letter (1).msg

FW Franchise Tax Board - Late Letter.msg

FW from Bill Deutchman CPA re unclaimed funds (2).msg

FW from Bill Deutchman CPA re unclaimed funds (3).msg

FW from Bill Deutchman CPA re unclaimed funds (4).msg

FW from Bill Deutchman CPA re unclaimed funds (1).msg

FW Hard Times - List of Horses & cost.msg

FW Is this correct Patrick and Gregg .msg

FW Need signed confirmation by owners .msg

FW P&L and Balance sheets for FirstBank.msg

FW RE Coast approx opening dates.msg

FW Scan from Windermere RM Admin .msg

FW Scan from Windermere RM Main Admin.msg

FW Scan from Windermere RM Admin (1).msg

FW Scan from Windermere RM Admin (2).msg

FW Starbucks Pt Loma Lease 1221 Rosecrans Street .msg

FW Tax Alert\_1st Qtr 2014\_IRS Refund Notice Update.msg

FW Windermere Real Estate Coachella Valley.msg

RE 2012 Audit vs QuickBooks (2).msg

FW WSSC - Audit - Minutes & Misc.msg

FW WSSC 2009 audit - April 20th .msg

FW Yearend money movement\_tax purposes 122313.msg

Intercompany Reconcile\_2012\_all related companies.xlsx

Need signed confirmation by owners .msg

Notice of Proposed Escape Assessment.msg

Partial Return\_22166808\_Windermere\_SoCal 2011 Return.pdf

Past Due filing\_Statement of Information\_WRE SoCal.msg

Please don't shoot the messenger\_Citizens.msg

prelim trial bal and adjust to audit tb 12 31 09.xls

Prospect Cal Metro - Invoice 11176 B&D LLC.msg

RE 2012 Audit vs QuickBooks (3).msg

RE 2012 Audit vs QuickBooks (1).msg

#### Description

new ABA.msg

note 1099.msg

Note 12 1 11 bob bennion (1).doc

Note 12 1 11 bob bennion.doc

Note 12 1 11 bob deville (1).doc

Note 12 1 11 bob deville.doc

Note WRE CV to WRESCS.pdf

notes.msg

Notice of Refund Seizure.msg

notice received (1).msg

notice received.msg

OCT - K-1 2012.msg

OCT - Stock - B&D LLC.msg

OCT .msg

Old WRE So Cal.msg

Out of Office .msg

PFS.msg

Patrick's question answer.msg

payroll retention.msg

PFS Bob & Bob.msg

PFS (2).msg

Portal.msg

Process Server.msg

Professional Reference.msg

PROMISSORY NOTE 1 2 08.doc

promissory note.pdf

Question on Allocations.msg

Question.msg

Quick Question Bob Deville.msg

Quickbooks.msg

RE (2).msg

RE (3).msg

RE (4).msg

RE (5).msg

Rush.msg

RE .msg

RE 9 30 15 FINANCIAL STATEMENT.msg

RE 12 31 12.msg

RE 1099 - Kerri Kerley.msg

RE 2011 Audit.msg

RE 2012 GL for Windermere Svs So Cal.msg

RE A couple more questions for WSSC audit.msg

RE Audit Engagement letter 2012.msg

RE B & D fine homes inc- coachella (6).msg

RE B & D fine homes inc- coachella (7).msg

Re B & D fine homes inc- coachella (8).msg

RE B & D fine homes inc- coachella (9).msg

RE B & D fine homes inc- coachella.msg

RE B & D fine homes inc- coachella (1).msg

RE B & D fine homes inc- coachella (2).msg

RE B & D fine homes inc- coachella (3).msg

Re B & D fine homes inc- coachella (4).msg

RE B & D fine homes inc- coachella (5).msg

RE B & D Fine Homes Inc FTB Notice.msg

RE B & D Fine Homes Inc FTB Notice (1).msg

RE Balance Sheet and Income Statements for 2012.msg

RE Bennion & Deville Fine Homes Inc.msg

RE Bennion & Deville Fine Homes So Cal Inc .msg

RE Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal Inc .msg

RE Bennion & Deville Line of Credit FirstBank.msg

RE Bennion & Deville Fine Homes file (1).msg

RE Bennion & Deville Fine Homes file.msg

Re Bennion & Deville Fine Homes\_Compil Rep1.msg

Re Bennion & Deville Fine Homes\_Compil Report.msg

Re Bennion & Deville Fine Homes\_Compil Report3.msg

RE BS COMMON OWNERSHIP UNDERWRITING (3).msg

RE BS COMMON OWNERSHIP UNDERWRITING (4).msg

RE BS COMMON OWNERSHIP UNDERWRITING.msg

RE BS COMMON OWNERSHIP UNDERWRITING (1).msg

RE BS COMMON OWNERSHIP UNDERWRITING (2).msg

RE Close Out for Windermere Resort Properties.msg

RE Coachella Valley Escrow - Change of Ownership (1).msg

RE CorpFilings\_B Squared Funding\_& Windermere\_SoCal.msg

RE CorpFilings\_B Squared Funding\_& Windermere\_SoCal4.msg

 ${\sf RE\ CorpFilings\_B\ Squared\ Funding\_\&\ Windermere\_SoCal5.msg}$ 

 ${\tt RE\ CorpFilings\_B\ Squared\ Funding\_\&\ Windermere\_SoCal6.msg}$ 

 $RE\ \ CorpFilings\_B\ Squared\ Funding\_\&\ Windermere\_SoCal7.msg$ 

RE CorpFilings\_B Squared Funding\_& Windermere\_SoCal1.msg

RE CorpFilings\_B Squared Funding\_& Windermere\_SoCal2.msg

RE CorpFilings\_B Squared Funding\_& Windermere\_SoCal3.msg

#### Description

RE 2012 Audit vs QuickBooks.msg

RE 12005 - WSC WRECV WSSC (1).msg

RE 12005 - WSC WRECV WSSC.msg

RE 61333 & 61380 Devils Ladder.msg

RE Accountant's changes.msg

RE acounting.msg

RE Affiliate Deposits.msg

RE are you working.msg

RE Assignment of Income (1).msg

RE Assignment of Income.msg

RE Audit for Services.msg

RE Audit Time - WSSC (1).msg

RE Audit Time - WSSC.msg

RE Audit Time.msg

RE Audit.msg

RE B & D Fine Homes Inc (1).msg

RE B & D Fine Homes Inc (2).msg

RE B & D Fine Homes Inc.msg

RE B & D Fine Homes.msg

RE B & D Inc-Seattle.msg

RE B&D LLC.msg

RE Backing up the books.msg

RE Bennion & Deville (1).msg

RE Bennion & Deville.msg

RE Bob and Bob.msg

RE Bob D.msg

RE Bob's Truck.msg

RE Books (1) (1).msg

RE Books (1).msg

RE Books (2) (1).msg

RE Books (2).msg

RE Books (3) (1).msg

RE Books (3).msg

RE Books (4) (1).msg

RE. Books (4).msg

RE Books (5) (1).msg

RE Books (5).msg

RE Books (6) (1).msg

RE Books (6).msg

RE Books (7).msg

RE Financials - Bob Deville Joseph R Deville.msg

RE Franchise Tax Board for 2013 diff for B&D.msg

RE from Deutchman CPA regarding unclaimed funds(2).msg

RE from Deutchman CPA regarding unclaimed funds.msg

RE from Deutchman CPA regarding unclaimed funds(1).msg

RE FW FW \_Welcome J.Jordan and here come the UFDDs.msg

RE FW\_Welcome J.Jordan and here come the UFDDs(2).msg

RE FW\_Welcome J.Jordan and here come the UFDDs.msg

RE FW\_Welcome J.Jordan and here come the UFDDs(1).msg

RE Items you requested thru Neil Barker.msg

RE Management Yvette Bundled Rates.msg

RE new charitable fdtn checking account to replace X.msg

RE P&L and Balance sheets for FirstBank.msg

RE P&L and Balance sheets for FirstBank (1).msg

RE P&L and Balance sheets for FirstBank (2).msg

RE Past Due filing\_Statement of Info\_WRE SoCal.msg

RE Quick questions for you guys.msg

RE RE Coast approx opening dates.msg

RE RENEWAL for Surety Bond - attached due policy.msg

RE request for 2010 1099 change!.msg

RE revised M-1 and M-2 9 12 14 (1).msg

RE Scan from Windermere RM Admin .msg

RE Services Note and Amortization Sch.msg

RE Starbucks Pt Loma Lease 1221 Rosecrans Street .msg

RE Windermere Services Audit3.msg

RE Windermere Audited Financials\_Personal.msg

RE Windermere Real Estate Coachella Valley.msg

RE Windermere Services Audit4.msg

RE Windermere Services Audit5.msg

RE Windermere Services Audit1.msg

RE Windermere Services Audit2.msg

RE Windermere Services Southern CA QBs Zip file (1).msg

RE Windermere Services Southern CA QBs Zip file.msg

RE Windermere Services Southern CA (1).msg

RE Windermere Services Southern CA (2).msg

RE Windermere Services Southern California.msg

Re WRE Coachella Valley & WRE Southern CA (2).msg

RE WRE Coachella Valley & WRE Southern CA.msg

RE WRE Coachella Valley & WRE Southern CA (1).msg

RE WREmail Real Estate Tax CPA Needed Pls.msg

#### Description

RE Books on Portal (1).msg

W-7.msg

RE Books on Portal (2).msg

RE Books on Portal.msg

RE Books updating.msg

RE books.msg

RE Calif Services Audit Quote.msg

RE Capital purchases - 2013.msg

RE Coast books (14).msg

RE Coast books.msg

RE Coast.msg

Re Company books (7).msg

RE Company books.msg

RE Confidential (1).msg

RE Confidential (2).msg

RE Confidential.msg

RE CPA meeting.msg

RE Deville.msg

RE CV financial statement.msg

RE CV Office list.msg

RE CV Office Listing (1).msg

RE CV Office Listing.msg

RE Date to transmit to IRS.msg

RE Deferrals - 12 31 2009 (1).msg

RE Deferrals - 12 31 2009.msg

RE employees.msg

RE Engagement letter.msg

Re Enterprise 14 (1).msg

RE Enterprise 14.msg

RE EPL Insurance.msg

RE Extensions .msg

RE files.msg

RE final draft.msg

RE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.msg

RE Financials - October (3).msg

RE Financials - October (4).msg
RE Financials - October.msg

RE financials.msg

RE fine home (1).msg

RE fine home.msg

RE WSSC Audit - Attorney Letter (2).msg

RE WSSC Audit - Attorney Letter.msg

RE WSSC Audit - Attorney Letter (1).msg

RE WSSC Franchise Fee Valuation.msg

recon trial bal to audit at 12 31 10.xls

Services Audit request list 2011.pdf

short phone chat - Services Audit (1).msg

short phone chat - Services Audit.msg

Standard bank confirmation - First Bank.doc

Statement of Info - CA - WRE CV - 2013.pdf

Standard bank confirmation - Citizen Bank (1).doc

Standard bank confirmation - Citizen Bank.doc
Standard bank confirmation - First Bank (1).doc

WEP - addl owed to WSSC for 2010.msg

Windermere 12-31-11 Engagement Letter.rtf vance (1).rtf

Windermere 12-31-11 Engagement Letter.rtf vance.rtf

Windemere Keith A Vance Engagement Letter.docx.pdf

Windermere 12-31-11 Engagement Letter.rtf

Windermere Real Estate of Southern CA Agreement.pdf

Windermere Services Southern California, Inc 01-25-12.QBW Windermere Services Southern California, Inc 2-21-11.QBW

Windermere Services Southern California, Inc.QBW

Windermere Services SoCal Audit Update Version 041813.QBB

Windermere Services SoCal Audit Update Version\_041813.QBW

Windermere Services SoCal\_Audit Update Version 041813.QBB

WRE Services - simple loan question.msg

WRE Services Balance 1st Qtr 2010 Sheet and P&L.msg

WREEP 2010 2nd Note Schedule (1).pdf

WSSC - Our portion of FF - Monthly 2015.msg

WSSC - WRECV Note & payments rcvd.pdf

WSSC Audit - WRECV WRESB WRELP.msg

WSSC Franchise Fee Net Valuation.pdf

WSSC Franchise Fee Valuation Model.xlsx

WSSC Franchise Fee Net Valuation Model version 2.xlsx

WSSC Franchise Fee Net Valuation Model.xlsx

WSSC Tax Account - Qualified Purchaser Program.msg

WSSC, Inc. Audit Update Version 041813 8 24 15.QBW

WSSC, Inc. Audit Update Version 041813 8 27 15.QBW

WSSC, Inc. Audit Update Version 041813 (12-17-13).QBW

### Description

RE Fine homes (1) (1).msg

RE Fine homes (1).msg

RE Fine homes (2).msg

Re Fine homes.msg

RE First Bank.msg

RE FirstBank update (1).msg

RE FirstBank update (2).msg

RE FirstBank update.msg

Greg Barton Deposition Transcript, October 19, 2016

# PROOF OF SERVICE

United States District Court for the Central District of California
Bennion & Deville Homes, Inc., et al. v. Windermere Real Estate Services Company
Case No. 5:15-cv-01921-R-KK
Judge: Hon. Manual L. Real
Courtroom 8

I am an attorney with the law firm of Pérez Vaughn & Feasby Inc., whose address is 600 B Street, Suite 2100, San Diego, California 92101. I am over the age of eighteen years, and am not a party to this action.

On March 3, 2017, served the following:

1. WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SERVICES COMPANY'S REBUTTAL EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE PURSUANT TO RULE 26 OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

on the interested parties in this action by:

- W. S. MAIL: I placed a copy in a separate envelope, with postage fully prepaid, for each address named on the attached service list for collection and mailing on the below indicated day following the ordinary business practices at Pérez Vaughn & Feasby Inc. I certify I am familiar with the ordinary business practices of my place of employment with regard to collection for mailing with the United States Postal Service. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit or mailing affidavit.
- ELECTRONIC MAIL: I caused to be transmitted via electronic means to the electronic mail address(es) noted below a true and correct copy of the aforementioned document(s) from feasby@pvflaw.com on the date ascribed below. The transmission was reported as complete without error. I am aware that the form of original signature must be maintained and must be available for review and copying on the request of the court or any party to this action.

	below. The transmission was reported as complete without error. I am awa that the form of original signature must be maintained and must be available review and copying on the request of the court or any party to this action.
//	
//	
//	
//	
//	
	1

		RIER SERVICE: I placed a copy in a separate envelopessee as indicated below, and caused such envelope(s) temperature.  FedEx.
by servi		
James <i>jmulca</i> Kevin <i>kadam</i> Dougle	CAHY LLP M. Mulcahy M. Mulcahyllp.com A. Adams s@mulcahyllp.com as R. Luther r@mulcahyllp.com eark Plaza, Suite 1230 California 92614	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants
XX	( <b>FEDERAL</b> ): I declare State of America that the	e under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United ne foregoing is true and correct.
Е	Executed at San Diego, Ca	alifornia on March 3, 2017.
		/s/ Jeffrev A. Feasby Jeffrev A. Feasby