1	MULCAHY LLP	
2	James M. Mulcahy (SBN 213547)	
3	jmulcahy@mulcahyllp.com Kevin A. Adams (SBN 239171)	
4	kadams@mulcahyllp.com	
	Four Park Plaza, Suite 1230	
5	Irvine, California 92614 Telephone: (949) 252-9377	
6	Facsimile: (949) 252-9377	
7		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-Dej	tendants
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRI	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	BENNION & DEVILLE FINE) Case No. 5:15-cv-01921-R
12	HOMES, INC., a California) Hon. Manual L. Real
13	corporation, BENNION & DEVILLE)) DECLARATION OF KEVIN A.
14	FINE HOMES SOCAL, INC., a California corporation,) ADAMS ISO THE B&D PARTIES'
	WINDERMERE SERVICES	OPPOSITION TO WINDERMERE
15	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC., a) REAL ESTATE SERVICES) COMPANY'S NOTICE OF
16	California corporation,	OBJECTIONS TO THE B&D
17	Plaintiffs,	PARTIES' AMENDED WITNESS
18		LIST
19	V.))
20	WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE	
21	SERVICES COMPANY, a Washington corporation; and DOES) Action Filed: September 17, 2015) Trial Date: None Set
22	1-10.) That Bate. Trone Set
23		
	Defendants.	<u>)</u>
24	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS))
25)
26		
27		
28		

9

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

I, Kevin A. Adams, declare as follows:

- I am one of the attorneys of record for Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants 1. Bennion & Deville Fine Homes, Inc., Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal, Inc., Windermere Services Southern California, Inc., and Counter-Defendants Robert L. Bennion and Joseph R. Deville (collectively, the "B&D Parties") in the abovenamed action. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California, and duly admitted to practice law before all of the courts of the State of California, including the United States District Court, Central District of California and the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. I make this Declaration in support of the B&D Parties' opposition to Windermere Real Estate Services Company's ("WSC") objections to the B&D Parties' amended witness list.
- As counsel for the B&D Parties, I am intimately familiar with the pleadings and discovery that has taken place in this action. The pleadings, written discovery requests, responses, and deposition transcripts have all been drafted and/or reviewed by me and are maintained at my office.
- On August 29, 2016, each of the parties filed their original witness 3. lists with the Court. [See D.E. 50, 53.] Since that time, the trial in this case has been continued.
- In the nine months that have passed since the parties filed their 4. original witness lists, they have engaged in significant additional discovery in the case – including the depositions of percipient witnesses Fred Schuster, Mike Teather, Greg Barton, Noelle Bortfeld, Michael Fanning, Brian Gooding, Rich Johnson, and Mark Oster, the depositions of and receipt of documents from expert witnesses Neal Beaton, Peter Wrobel, and David Holmes, and the receipt of subpoenaed documents from several third-parties. Relevant to WSC's objections, Mr. Schuster's deposition was not taken until September 7, 2016 and after the B&D Parties' initial witness list was filed with the Court.

5. Both parties contemplated the impending depositions and additional discovery in the case would require further witness and exhibit identification for trial. As such, the B&D Parties expressly "reserve[d] the right to amend, modify, or supplement this witness list following the completion of expert discovery that [was] underway."[D.E. 50.] Similarly, WSC reserved in its witnesses list "the right to amend, modify, or supplement [its] list upon the completion of discovery." [D.E. 53.]

- 6. On December 14, 2015, the B&D Parties served their Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures. Fred Schuster ("Schuster") was included in the Initial Disclosures. A true and correct copy of the B&D Parties' Initial Disclosures is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 7. The parties in this action filed their respective initial proposed witness lists on August 29, 2016. (Dkt. Nos. 50, 53.) Schuster was not deposed until September 7, 2016, after the initial witness lists were filed.
- 8. On August 22, 2016, I deposed WSC's General Counsel, Paul Drayna. During his deposition, Mr. Drayna testified that WSC withheld funds paid by Rich King to WSC, half of which were due to Windermere Services Southern California, Inc. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of portions of the transcript of the deposition of Mr. Drayna. In light of this and other discovery produced by WSC in the case, we identified Mr. King as a witness in the B&D Parties' initial witness list.
- 9. WSC's objection to the B&D Parties' inclusion of Mr. King as a potential witness in the case on the grounds that he was not identified in the B&D Parties' initial disclosures is made in bad faith as WSC has named three witnesses in its witness list *i.e.*, York Baur, Cass Herring, and Kendra Vita that were not included in WSC's Initial disclosures. A true and accurate copy of WSC's Initial Disclosures is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**. Unlike the B&D Parties' discovery of

King's significance to this case through discovery obtained from WSC, WSC's named witnesses are each employees of WSC, and their relevance to this action has been known to WSC since the filing of the Complaint. Thus, WSC's objection should been seen for what it is – gamesmanship – and be rejected. In the alternative, if King is excluded from the B&D Parties' witness list for not being included in the Initial Disclosures, then York Baur, Cass Herring, and Kendra Vita must all be excluded from WSC's witness list on the same grounds.

10. Finally, Gary Kruger was not identified in the B&D Parties' initial witness list because he is an out-of-state resident that could not be compelled through subpoena to testify at trial. On May 20, 2017, I spoke with Mr. Kruger – the creator and operator of windermerewatch.com – and learned that, although he is outside the subpoena power of this Court, he is likely to personally attend this trial during which time he may be called on to testify. Mr. Kruger's testimony is central to this case, and has been subject of many, if not most, of both parties' filings in this case. Both parties thoroughly discuss Mr. Kruger in their respective pleadings. (Dkt. No. 1, ¶¶ 51, 52, 92, 95; Dkt. Nos. 16, ¶¶ 70, 71, 73, 76, 78; Dkt. No. 31, ¶¶ 3, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 57, 76, 77, 79.) WSC cannot claim that this is a surprise witness.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Declaration was executed this 26th day of May, 2017 at Irvine, California.

/s/ Kevin A. Adams Kevin A. Adams

1	MULCAHY LLP	
2	James M. Mulcahy (SBN 213547)	
3	jmulcahy@mulcahyllp.com Kevin A. Adams (SBN 239171)	
	kadams@mulcahyllp.com	
4	Douglas R. Luther (SBN 280550)	
5	dluther@mulcahyllp.com	
6	Four Park Plaza, Suite 1230 Irvine, California 92614	
7	Telephone: (949) 252-9377	
8	Facsimile: (949) 252-0090	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-Def	endants
10		
11	f .	ES DISTRICT COURT
12	CENTRAL DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	BENNION & DEVILLE FINE) Case No. 5:15-cv-01921-R-KK
14	HOMES, INC., a California) Hon. Manual L. Real
15	corporation, BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES SOCAL, INC., a)) PLAINTIFFS AND COUNTER-
	California corporation,	DEFENDANTS' INITIAL
16	WINDERMERE SERVICES	DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO
17	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC., a California corporation,) FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)
18	Camorna corporation,)
19	Plaintiffs,)
20		
21	V.)
22	WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE)
23	SERVICES COMPANY, a	
24	Washington corporation; and DOES 1-10.) }
25)
26	Defendants.	
27	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS))
28		
20		1
	Case No. 5:15-cv-01921-R-KK	PLAINTIFFS AND COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' INITIAL DISCLOSURES

Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants Bennion & Deville Fine Homes, Inc., Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal, Inc., Windermere Services Southern California, Inc., Counter-Defendant Robert L. Bennion, and specially appearing Counter-Defendant Joseph R. Deville (all collectively, the "B&D Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel of record, hereby set forth their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). These disclosures are based on information currently available to the B&D Parties and their counsel. The B&D Parties reserve the right to rely on witnesses, documents and other information that may come to their or their counsel's attention during the course of the litigation, through discovery, and trial preparation. The B&D Parties reserve the right to modify or supplement these disclosures as discovery proceeds.

I. <u>WITNESSES</u>

Based on their preliminary investigation, the B&D Parties believe the following individuals, in addition to other potential officers, directors, and managing members of Defendant Windermere Real Estate Services Company ("WSC"), may have information within the scope of Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(i).

- 1. <u>Robert L. Bennion</u> c/o Mulcahy LLP, 4 Park Plaza, Ste. 1230, Irvine, CA 92614; (949) 252-9377. Mr. Bennion is a party to this action and has discoverable information relating to all claims and affirmative defenses asserted by the B&D Parties.
- 2. <u>Joseph R. Deville</u> c/o Mulcahy LLP, 4 Park Plaza, Ste. 1230, Irvine, CA 92614; (949) 252-9377. Mr. Deville is a party to this action and has discoverable information relating to all claims and affirmative defenses asserted by the B&D Parties.
- 3. <u>Eric Forsberg</u> c/o Mulcahy LLP, 4 Park Plaza, Ste. 1230, Irvine, CA 92614; (949) 252-9377. Mr. Forsberg is the B&D Parties' Director of Technology and is expected to testify regarding the technology and related services offered by WSC to its Southern California franchisees, the efforts undertaken by the B&D Parties to combat Windermere Watch's anti-marketing campaign, the technology and related services created by the B&D Parties and offered to Windermere franchisees in Southern

Case No. 5:15-cv-01921-R-KK

PLAINTIFFS AND COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' INITIAL DISCLOSURES

California, and the communications with representatives of WSC regarding the technology and related services WSC was providing.

- 4. <u>Patrick Robinson</u> c/o Mulcahy LLP, 4 Park Plaza, Ste. 1230, Irvine, CA 92614; (949) 252-9377. Mr. Robinson is the B&D Parties' Director of Services and accountant, and is expected to testify regarding certain details of the offer and sale of Windermere franchises to prospective franchisees in Southern California, including but not limited to the terms of the franchise agreements offered to those prospective franchisees, and the increasing technology and administrative fees charged by WSC.
- 5. <u>Paige Tyley</u> c/o Mulcahy LLP, 4 Park Plaza, Ste. 1230, Irvine, CA 92614; (949) 252-9377. Ms. Tyley is the B&D Parties' Executive Assistant and is expected to have information concerning WSC's failure to comply with its contractual obligations relating to Windermere Watch and general unresponsiveness to the B&D Parties' requests for assistance in the Southern California region.
- 6. <u>Kirk Gregor</u> c/o Mulcahy LLP, 4 Park Plaza, Ste. 1230, Irvine, CA 92614; (949) 252-9377. Mr. Gregor is the Director of Office Development for the B&D Parties and is expected to have information regarding WSC's efforts to undermine the B&D Parties' relationships with franchisees and agents in the Southern California region, the harm caused to the region by Windermere Watch, and the strain that WSC placed on the B&D Parties' sale of franchises in the Southern California region for not timely and properly filing the franchise disclosure document in California.
- 7. <u>Chris Anderson</u> c/o Mulcahy LLP, 4 Park Plaza, Ste. 1230, Irvine, CA 92614; (949) 252-9377. Mr. Anderson holds the title of General Manager for the B&D Parties' real estate operations and is expected to have information on WSC's efforts to undermine the B&D Parties' relationships with franchisees and agents in the Southern California region, and the strain that WSC placed on the B&D Parties' sale of franchises in the Southern California region for not timely and properly filing the franchise disclosure document in California.

- 8. <u>Robert Sunderland</u> 11770 Bernardo Plaza Court, Suite 310, San Diego, CA 92128, (858) 675-7800. Mr. Sunderland has served as legal counsel for the B&D Parties and is expected to have information regarding the discussions and negotiations between the B&D Parties and WSC regarding Windermere Watch, and the meetings with representatives of WSC regarding the Southern California region, generally.
- 9. <u>Gerard P. Davey</u> 1301 Dove Street, Suite 900, Newport Beach, California 92660-2473; (949) 475-9300. Mr. Davey is expected to have information regarding communications with WSC representatives regarding the termination of the B&D Parties' Area Representative rights and responsibilities, and on various other communications with representatives of WSC.
- 10. <u>Rich Johnson</u> 16783 Bernardo Center Drive, Suite D-1, San Diego, CA 92128. Mr. Johnson is a former Windermere franchise owner in Southern California and is expected to have information regarding the harm caused by Windermere Watch's antimarketing campaign in the Southern California region, and the inferior technology services offered by WSC.
- 11. <u>Brian Gooding</u> 6965 El Camino Real, Suite 107, Carlsbad, CA 92009. Mr. Johnson is a former Windermere franchise owner in Southern California and is expected to have information regarding the harm caused by Windermere Watch's anti-marketing campaign in the Southern California region, and the inferior technology services offered by WSC.
- 12. Paul Drayna c/o Perez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby, 750 B Street, Ste. 3300, San Diego, CA 92101. Mr. Drayna is the General Counsel and Secretary for WSC and is expected to have information regarding WSC's compliance (or noncompliance, as the case may be) with California's franchise registration and disclosure laws, including but not limited to, the creation, filing, and registration of WSC's franchise disclosure documents, communications with the California Department of Business Oversight (formerly, the Department of Corporations) during the franchise disclosure registration process, the differing franchise terms offered to prospective franchisees in the Northern

,

and Southern California regions, and his legal advice to members of the B&D Parties regarding compliance with California's franchise laws. Mr. Drayna is also expected to have information regarding the Notices of Termination of the Area Representation Agreement, and WSC's failure to take necessary legal action to prevent infringement of the Windermere name and mark by Windermere Watch.

- 13. <u>Robert Sherrell</u> c/o Perez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby, 750 B Street, Ste. 3300, San Diego, CA 92101. Mr. Sherrell is the Senior Windows and Systems Administrator for Windermere Solutions and is expected to have information regarding the technology and related services offered by WSC to its franchisees in Southern California.
- 14. Geoffrey P. Wood c/o Perez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby, 750 B Street, Ste. 3300, San Diego, CA 92101. Mr. Wood is the Chief Executive Officer of WSC and is expected to have information regarding WSC's efforts to combat the counter-marketing campaign of Windermere Watch in Southern California, the termination of WSC's agreements with the B&D Parties, the "variety of services" offered by WSC to the B&D Parties and other Windermere franchisees in the Southern California region, WSC's efforts to push the B&D Parties out of the Windermere system, and general communications with the B&D Parties and others regarding the facts set forth in the First Amended Complaint.
- 15. <u>Jill Jacobi Wood</u> c/o Perez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby, 750 B Street, Ste. 3300, San Diego, CA 92101. Ms. Wood is the President and a Director of WSC. She is expected to have information on WSC's efforts to reacquire the Southern California Area Representative business from the B&D Parties.
- 16. <u>John W. Jacobi</u> c/o Perez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby, 750 B Street, Ste. 3300, San Diego, CA 92101. Mr. Jacobi is the Founder, Director, and Chairman of the Board for WSC and is expected to have information regarding WSC's historical relationships with the B&D Parties, and the termination of WSC's agreements with the B&D Parties.

- 17. <u>John O. ("OB") Jacobi</u> c/o Perez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby, 750 B Street, Ste. 3300, San Diego, CA 92101. Mr. Jacobi is one of the Directors of WSC and is expected to have information regarding WSC's historical relationships with the B&D Parties, and the termination of WSC's agreements with the B&D Parties.
- Michael J. Teather c/o Perez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby, 750 B Street, Ste. 3300, San Diego, CA 92101. Mr. Teather is the Senior Vice President of Client Services for WSC and also a licensed attorney. He is expected to have information regarding WSC's communications with Windermere franchisees and prospective franchisees in the Southern California region, WSC's efforts to retake the Area Representative rights and responsibilities from the B&D Parties, and WSC's approval of Windermere franchise locations in Southern California during the 2014 and 2015 years. Mr. Teather is also expected to have information regarding WSC's efforts to acquire the B&D Parties' technology and related services and employees.
- 19. York Baur c/o Perez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby, 750 B Street, Ste. 3300, San Diego, CA 92101. Mr. Baur works (or worked) at Windermere Solutions and is expected to have information regarding WSC's knowledge of the B&D Parties' extensive efforts to combat Windermere Watch's anti-marketing campaign.
- 20. <u>Fred Schuster</u> 14677 Via Bettona, Ste. 120, San Diego, CA 92127. Mr. Schuster is the former manager of a Windermere franchisee in Southern California and is expected to have information regarding WSC's efforts to engage the Southern California franchisees without the involvement of the B&D Parties.
- 21. <u>John Schieber</u> address and contact information currently unknown to the B&D Parties. Mr. Schieber is expected to have relevant information regarding WSC's Northern California region and the franchise terms offered to the prospective franchisees for that region.
- 22. <u>Gretchen Pearson</u> address and contact information currently unknown to the B&D Parties. Ms. Pearson was the Northern California Area Representative for a period of time relevant to this litigation, and is expected to have relevant information

regarding WSC's Northern California region, the franchise terms offered to the prospective franchisees for that region, and the support and services offered by WSC to the franchisees in that region.

- 23. <u>Mike Fanning</u> address and contact information currently unknown to the B&D Parties. Mr. Fanning served as the WSC Northern California contact for a period of time relevant to this litigation and is expected to have relevant information regarding WSC's Northern California region and the franchise terms offered to the prospective franchisees for that region.
- 24. <u>Tara Scholl</u> address and contact information currently unknown to the B&D Parties. Ms. Scholl was responsible for recruiting new franchisees in the Northern California region for 2014 and is expected to have relevant information regarding WSC franchise efforts in that region and the franchise terms offered to the prospective franchisees for that region.
- 25. Person Most Knowledgeable of WSC c/o Perez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby, 750 B Street, Ste. 3300, San Diego, CA 92101. This Person Most Knowledgeable is expected to have discoverable information regarding WSC's counterclaims and affirmative defenses.
- 26. WSC's Corporate Representative and records custodian pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) c/o Perez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby, 750 B Street, Ste. 3300, San Diego, CA 92101. This witness or group of witnesses is expected to have information regarding WSC's retention and storage of the written communications, contracts, and other documents relevant to this action.
 - 27. Any other witness identified by WSC.

Discovery is continuing and the B&D Parties reserve the right to supplement their initial disclosure of witnesses once such information is learned.

II. <u>DOCUMENTS</u>

Based on their preliminary investigation, the B&D Parties believe the following documents, electronically stored information, and/or tangible things that the B&D Parties

have in their possession, custody, or control may fall within the scope of Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(ii). Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.

- 1. Windermere Real Estate License Agreement dated August 1, 2001, and all addenda thereto. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 2. Windermere Real Estate Services Company Area Representation Agreement for the State of California dated May 1, 2004, and all addenda thereto. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 3. Windermere Real Estate Franchise License Agreement, dated March 29, 2011, and all addenda thereto. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 4. Agreement Modifying Windermere Real Estate Franchise License Agreement dated December 18, 2012. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 5. Documents, including ESI, relating to the Windermere Watch's anti-Windermere marketing campaign. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 6. Documents, including ESI, relating to communications between the B&D Parties and representatives of WSC regarding WSC's efforts (or lack thereof) to combat Windermere Watch's anti-Windermere marketing campaign. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 7. Documents, including ESI, relating to communications involving Windermere franchisees regarding WSC's efforts (or lack thereof) to combat Windermere Watch's anti-Windermere marketing campaign. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.

- 8. Documents, including ESI, relating to the B&D Parties' competitors' use of the Windermere Watch anti-Windermere marketing campaign. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 9. Documents, including ESI, relating to WSC's franchise registration and renewal efforts in California. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 10. Documents, including ESI, relating to communications regarding the disclosure of prospective franchisees in California with the Windermere franchise disclosure documents. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 11. Documents, including ESI, relating to communications between the B&D Parties and Paul Drayna regarding the registration and disclosure of Windermere's franchise disclosure document for the Southern California region. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 12. Documents, including ESI, relating to the materially different contract terms offered by WSC to its Northern California prospective franchisees from the terms that it offered to its Southern California prospective franchisees. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 13. Documents, including ESI, relating to violations and contractual breaches by WSC of the license agreements and Area Representation Agreement. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 14. Documents, including ESI, relating to WSC's efforts to acquire the B&D Parties' technology and related services. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 15. Documents, including ESI, relating to WSC's interference with the B&D Parties' relationships with prospective and existing franchisees in the Southern California region. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.

- 16. Documents, including ESI, relating to the success the B&D Parties had while members of the Windermere system. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 17. Documents, including ESI, relating to the contributions of the B&D Parties to the Windermere system. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 18. Documents, including ESI, relating to WSC's failure to provide adequate technology and related services to the Southern California region. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 19. Documents, including ESI, relating to any delay in payment of franchise fees and other fees required by the contracts by the B&D Parties. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 20. Documents, including ESI, relating to the B&D Parties use of the Windermere name and trademark, including any efforts by them to discontinue use of the name and mark following the termination of the parties' relationships. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 21. Documents, including ESI, relating to WSC's failure to provide a viable "Windermere System" for the Southern California region. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 22. Documents, including ESI, relating to WSC's efforts to reacquire the Area Representative rights and duties for the Southern California region. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 23. Documents, including ESI, relating to the B&D Parties' loss of franchise fees and license fees as a result of WSC's conduct. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 24. Documents, including ESI, relating to the artificially depressed value of the B&D Parties' businesses as a result of WSC's failures to comply with the express and

implied terms of the parties' agreements. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.

- 25. Documents, including ESI, relating to WSC's failure to make available to the B&D Parties competent "key people" necessary to assist them in carrying out the obligations to offer and sell franchises as the Area Representative in the Southern California region. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 26. Documents, including ESI, relating to WSC's termination of the Area Representative Agreement in violation of the California Franchise Relations Act. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 27. Documents, including ESI, relating to WSC's attempts to recruit the B&D Parties' sales agents and other employees to join WSC and other Windermere offices. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 28. Documents, including ESI, relating to WSC's failure to take necessary action (legal or otherwise) to prevent infringement of the Windermere trademark or the related unfair competition in the Southern California region as a result of the Windermere Watch websites. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 29. Documents, including ESI, relating to the support the B&D Parties provided to the Windermere franchisees in the Southern California region. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 30. Documents, including ESI, relating to the remittance of franchise fees collected by the B&D Parties as Area Representative to WSC. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 31. Documents, including ESI, relating to the loans by WSC to the B&D Parties, and any subsequent repayment of those loans. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.

- 32. Documents, including ESI, relating to the parties' performance under the terms of the various agreements. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.
- 33. Documents, including ESI, relating to the damages the B&D Parties are claiming in this action. Such documents are located at the B&D Parties' office in Rancho Mirage, California.

III. DAMAGES

The B&D Parties seek compensatory damages suffered as a result of WSC's breaches of the express and implied terms of the parties' agreements. While the full extent of these damages is not yet known, they include, but are not limited to:

- 1. The fair market value of the Area Representative business at the time of termination by WSC;
- 2. 50% of all franchise and license fees, including those resulting from a settlement of said fees, acquired by WSC and owed to the B&D parties pursuant to the terms of the Area Representative Agreement;
- 3. The non-reimbursed expenditures by the B&D Parties on technology and SEO optimization to combat the anti-Windermere marketing efforts of Windermere Watch;
- 4. The depressed value of the franchise and Area Representative businesses as a result of WSC's failure to comply with the express and implied terms of the parties' agreements;
- 5. The damages incurred by the B&D Parties in connection with the failed operation of the Solana Beach franchised location; and
- 6. The damages to the B&D Parties in connection with the numerous lost agents and listings resulting from WSC's breaches of its express and implied obligations under the parties' agreements.

///

///

 The B&D Parties' claim for damages will be supplemented upon receipt of additional information through discovery and investigation. Further, a financial expert will be required to conduct a fair market value for the Area Representative business and the depressed value of the B&D Parties' businesses as a result of WSC's unlawful conduct.

The documents which establish the nature and extent of injuries suffered include, but are not limited to the parties' agreements, the B&D Parties' financials, and all other records reflecting the B&D Parties' non-reimbursed expenditures on technology and SEO optimization to combat the anti-Windermere marketing efforts of Windermere Watch.

The B&D Parties also seek a judicial determination and declaration that WSC did not have cause to terminate the Area Representation Agreement.

In addition to the foregoing, the B&D Parties intend to seek an award of attorneys' fees and costs provided for in the parties' agreements and incurred during the prosecution of this action, to be determined at trial, as well as other damages as may be appropriate as the action proceeds.

IV. <u>INSURANCE AGREEMENTS</u>

The B&D Parties are not aware of any insurance agreement that may be used to satisfy part or all of a judgment in this case. However, the B&D Parties will timely supplement its *Fed. R Civ. Proc.* 23(a)(1)(A)(iv) disclosure if they learn of any such insurance policy.

MULCAHY LLP

By: /s/ James M. Mulcahy
James M. Mulcahy
Kevin A. Adams
Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants
Bennion & Deville Fine Homes, Inc.,
Bennion & Deville Fine Homes SoCal, Inc.,
Windermere Services Southern California,
Inc., and Counter-Defendants Robert L.
Bennion and Joseph R. Deville

1.2

Case No. 5:15-cv-01921-R-KK

PLAINTIFFS AND COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' INITIAL DISCLOSURES

12

11

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1230, Irvine, CA 92614.

On December 14, 2015, I served document(s) described as PLAINTIFFS AND COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' INITIAL DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a) on the following person at the addresses and/or facsimile number below:

Pérez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby John Vaughn 750 B. Street, 33rd Floor San Diego, CA 92101 vaughn@perezwilson.com

- [] VIA FACSIMILE - Based on an agreement by the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents from a fax machine in Irvine, California, with the number 949-252-0090, to the parties and/or attorney for the parties at the facsimile transmission number(s) shown herein. The facsimile transmission was reported as complete without error by a transmission report, issued by the facsimile transmission upon which the transmission was made, a copy of which is attached hereto.
- BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE Based on a court order or agreement of the parties to accept [X]service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification addresses listed herein on the above referenced date. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
- BY MAIL - I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day, with postage thereon fully prepaid, at Irvine, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- BY CERTIFIED MAIL - I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day, with postage thereon fully prepaid, at Irvine, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- BY FEDERAL EXPRESS I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for Federal Express. Under that practice it would be deposited

1	with Federal Express on that same day in the ordinary course of business for overnight delivery with delivery costs thereon fully prepaid by sender, at Irvine, California.
2	DV MESSENGED SEDVICE I sowied the decomposite by pleasing them in an envelope of
3	BY MESSENGER SERVICE – I served the documents by placing them in an envelope of package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed herein and providing them to
4	professional messenger service for service. A declaration by the messenger service will b filed separately.
5	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the above is true and correct.
7	States of America that the above is true and correct.
8	Executed on December 14, 2015 at Irvine, California.
9	By: /s/ Barbara Calvert
10	Barbara Calvert
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	·
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	,
27	
28	
- 11	

1	UNITED STATES DISTRIC	CT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CA	ALIFORNIA
		_
3	BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES,)
4	INC., a California corporation,)
	BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES)
5	SOCAL, INC., a California)
6	corporation, WINDERMERE SERVICES)
	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC., a)
7	California corporation,)
8	Plaintiffs,)
9	vs.) No.
10	WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SERVICES) 5:15-cv-01921-R-KK
1000	COMPANY, a Washington) VOLUME I
11	corporation; and DOES 1-10,)
12	Defendants,)
		_)
13	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS)
14		
15		
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF PA	AUL S. DRAYNA
17	600 University Street,	Suite 320
18	Seattle, Washing	gton
19	Monday, August 22,	2016
20		
21	REPORTED BY:	
22	CYNTHIA A. KENNEDY, RPR, CCR 3005	
23	JOB No. 2364301	
24		
25	PAGES 1 - 354	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
4	
5	BY: KEVIN A. ADAMS, ESQ.
6	Mulcahy LLP
7	Four Park Plaza, Suite 1230
8	Irvine, CA 92614
9	(949) 252-9377
10	kadams@mulcahyllp.com
11	
12	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
13	
14	BY: JEFFREY A. FEASBY, ESQ.
15	Perez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby
16	Symphony Towers
17	750 B Street, 33rd Floor
18	San Diego, CA 92101
19	(619) 702-8044
20	feasby@perezwilson.com
21	
22	ALSO PRESENT:
2 3	JOSEPH DEVILLE
24	ROBERT BENNION (morning session only)
25	LUCAS CHEADLE, VIDEOGRAPHER
	Page 2

1	the agreement without cause triggered the payment of
2	the fair market value of the business, correct?
3	A. That which as set forth in paragraph 4.2
4	You said 4(b), and I did not know what you were
5	referring to by 4(b).
6	Q. Terminating the relationship without cause
7	triggers the payment of the fair market value to the
8	terminated party, correct?
9	A. Pursuant to paragraph 4.2, as we discussed
10	previously, yes.
11	Q. Thank you.
12	Has Windermere collected any fees from
13	franchisees that previously operated in Mr. Bennion
14	and Mr. Deville's region that have not been forwarded
15	on to Mr. Bennion and Mr. Deville pursuant to this
16	contract?
17	MR. FEASBY: Objection. Form.
18	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't
19	understand the question.
20	BY MR. ADAMS:
21	Q. Sure. Has Windermere collected any fees
22	from Rich King that have not been forwarded on to
23	Mr. Bennion and Mr. Deville?
24	A. There have been payments received from
25	Mr. King since his departure. The portion owed to

1 Mr. Bennion and Mr. Deville have been applied as 2 offsets against the amounts that they owe us. 3 Ο. And how much has been collected by Windermere from Mr. King that has been not forwarded 4 5 on? 6 Α. Not a great deal. Mr. King -- just about 7 the same time that Mr. Bennion and Mr. Deville departed, Mr. King's departure was happening at 8 essentially the same time. He did agree to sign a 9 Promissory Note for the amounts that he owed, and he 10 is currently in default of those obligations. 11 We have sent him a Notice of Default, to 12 13 which he responded indicating that he is trying to get 14 caught back up; he's had a few rough months, and --15 but the -- I do not know off the top of my head how 16 much we've received from him so far. It's not a great deal. He's -- he is a number of months in arrears at 17 18 this point. 19 Q. Can you estimate for me? 20 Α. Honestly, I can't. 21 0. More than \$10,000? 22 Α. I -- I honestly don't know. 23 Q. Who would know at Windermere?

Mark Oster would be able to give you the

24

25

Α.

exact numbers.

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
) ss.
4	COUNTY OF KITSAP)
5	
6	I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court
	Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition
7	upon oral examination of PAUL S. DRAYNA was taken
	stenographically before me on August 22, 2016, and
8	thereafter transcribed under my direction;
9	That the witness was duly sworn by me
	pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that
10	the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and
	correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I
11	am neither attorney for nor a relative or employee of
	any of the parties to the action or any attorney or
12	financially interested in its outcome;
13	I further certify that in accordance with CR
	30(e), the witness was given the opportunity to
14	examine, read, and sign the deposition, within 30
	days, upon its completion and submission, unless
15	waiver of signature was indicated in the record.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
	hand and 6th day of September, 2016.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Cynthia A. Kennedy, RPR
22	NCRA Registered Professional Reporter
23	Washington Certified Court Reporter No. 3005
24	License expires November 16, 2016
25	

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS	
Defendant.	Courtroom: 6
WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SERVICES COMPANY, a Washington	[F.R.C.P. 26(a)(1)]
V.	DISCLUSURES
Plaintiffs,	SERVICES COMPANY'S INITIAL DISCLOSURES
corporation,	COUNTERCLAIMANT WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE
SERVICES SOUTHERN	PLAINTIFF AND
FINE HOMES SOCAL, INC., a	mon. Manuel L. Real
HOMES, INC., a California	Case No. 5:15-CV-01921 R (KKx) Hon. Manuel L. Real
DENDUON 6 DEVILLE EINE	C N. 5:15 CV 01021 D (VV)
	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclain Windermere Real Estate Services Compar	nant 1y
E-Mail: feasby@perezwislson.com	
Facsimile: 619-460-0437	
San Diego, Ćalifornia 92101	
Jeffrey A. Feasby, State Bar No. 208759 PEREZ WILSON VAUGHN & FEASBY	•
John D. Vaughn, State Bar No. 171801	
	Telephone: 619-702-8044 Facsimile: 619-460-0437 E-Mail: vaughn@perezwilson.com E-Mail: feasby@perezwilson.com Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclain Windermere Real Estate Services Compared UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRICE BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES, INC., a California corporation, BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES SOCAL, INC., a California corporation, WINDERMERE SERVICES SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC., a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SERVICES COMPANY, a Washington corporation; and DOES 1-10 Defendant.

Plaintiff and Counterclaimant Windermere Real Estate Services Company ("WSC") hereby submits its initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1). The following disclosures are based on information currently known to WSC. WSC reserves the right to correct, modify, and/or supplement these disclosures in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e).

The name and, if known, the address and telephone number of (i) each individual likely to have discoverable information—along with the subjects of that information—that the disclosing party may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)(A)(i), WSC presently believes that the following individuals are likely to have discoverable information that WSC may use to support its claims or defenses, excluding persons to be used solely for impeachment:

Name	Address and Telephone Number	Subject of Information
Joseph R. Deville	c/o counsel for Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants	All aspects of this litigation.
Robert L. Bennion	c/o counsel for Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants	All aspects of this litigation.
Patrick Robinson	c/o counsel for Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants	Plaintiffs' allegations and WSC's defenses to those claims.
Eric Forsberg	c/o counsel for Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants	Plaintiffs' IT structure and capabilities and their ownership and/or use of relevant web domains. Also issues related to windermerewatch.com and Plaintiffs' SEO efforts.

1	Name	Address and Telephone Number	Subject of Information
2	Robert Sunderland	Sunderland and McCutchan LLP 11770 Bernardo Place Ct,	The parties' negotiation of various agreements and related documents
3 4		Suite 310 San Diego, CA 92128	and related documents and historical disputes between the parties.
5	John Jacobi	c/o counsel for WSC	The various agreements between the parties and
6			between the parties and the negotiation of those agreements. Discussions
$\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$			between the parties regarding the matters alleged in the First
9			Amended Complaint and First Amended
10			Counterclaim.
11	Geoff Wood	c/o counsel for WSC	The various agreements between the parties and
12			the negotiation of those agreements. Discussions between the parties
13			regarding the matters alleged in the First
14			Amended Complaint and First Amended
15	T'11 T 1 ' XX' 1	/ 1.C. WGG	Counterclaim.
16 17	Jill Jacobi Wood	c/o counsel for WSC	The various agreements between the parties and the negotiation of those
18			agreements. Discussions between the parties
19			regarding the matters alleged in the First
20			Amended Complaint and First Amended Counterclaim.
21	John "OB" Jacobi	c/o counsel for WSC	
22			The various agreements between the parties and the negotiation of those agreements. Discussions between the parties
23 24			between the parties
25			regarding the matters alleged in the First Amended Complaint and First Amended
26			First Amended Counterclaim.
27			
28			

1	Name	Address and Telephone Number	Subject of Information
2 3 4 5 6 7	Paul Drayna	c/o counsel for WSC	The various agreements between the parties and the negotiation of those agreements. Discussions between the parties regarding the matters alleged in the First Amended Complaint and First Amended Counterclaim.
8 9 10	Noelle Bortfeld	c/o counsel for WSC	WSC's marketing efforts, and historic communications between the parties regarding marketing efforts in Southern California.
11 12 13 14	Michael Teather	c/o counsel for WSC	Discussions between the parties regarding the matters alleged in the First Amended Complaint and Counterclaim.
15 16 17	Mark Oster	c/o counsel for WSC	Amounts owing to WSC, loans to Counter-Defendants, and other financial matters relevant to the litigation.
18 19 20	Michael Fanning	c/o counsel for WSC	Services offered by WSC to its franchisees and Plaintiffs' history of refusing to accept assistance from WSC when offered.
21 22 23 24	Don Riley	c/o counsel for WSC	History of dealings between the parties, their past negotiations, and other matters alleged in the First Amended Complaint, and Counterclaim.
25 26 27	Robert Sherrell	c/o counsel for WSC	Counter-Defendants' ownership and/or use of relevant web domains.
28		2	

Name	Address and Telephone Number	Subject of Information
Rich Johnson	16783 Bernardo Center Drive, Suite D-1	Lack of service and support provided by
	San Diego, CA 92128 (858) 487-5110	Plaintiffs, as well as
	(838) 487-3110	Plaintiffs, as well as actions by Plaintiffs that were harmful to a
		franchise they were supposed to be
		supporting.
Brian Gooding	6965 El Camino Real, Suite 107 Carlsbad, CA 92009	Lack of service and
	(760) 683-8626	support provided by Plaintiffs, as well as
		actions by Plaintiffs that were harmful to a
		franchise they were
		supposed to be supporting.

WSC reserves the right to rely upon information from additional persons as such individuals come to its attention through further discovery and investigation, to rely upon evidence obtained from any persons identified by Plaintiffs and/or Counterdefendants, and to rely upon evidence obtained from the foregoing individuals with respect to any subject. WSC does not consent to or authorize any communications with any of its current or former employees, who should only be contacted through the undersigned counsel for WSC.

(ii) A copy—or a description by category and location—of all documents, electronically stored information, and tangible things that the disclosing party has in its possession, custody, or control and may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1)(A)(ii), the following categories encompass documents, electronically stored information, and tangible things that WSC presently believes may be used to support its claims or defenses, excluding those used solely for impeachment:

1. Documents related to the preparation of the agreements at issue;

28 || /

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 ///

28

- 2. Documents related to communications between the parties regarding the agreements at issue;
- Documents related to communications between the parties 3. regarding Windermere Watch; and
 - 4. Documents related to loans to Counterdefendants.

These documents, to the extent located to date, are located at WSC's premises or offsite storage, of the law offices of Pérez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby, 750 B Street, Suite 3300, San Diego, California 92130. WSC reserves the right to rely upon any additional documents obtained through further discovery and investigation, and any documents identified or disclosed by Plaintiffs and/or Counterdefendants.

(iii) A computation of each category of damages claimed by the disclosing party—who must also make available for inspection and copying as under Rule 34 the documents or other evidentiary material, unless privileged or protected from disclosure, on which each computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered.

WSC seeks damages for Counterdefendants' breach of various agreements in the amount of \$1,208,655.43 plus pre and post-judgment interest thereon as allowed by the parties' agreements and/or applicable law.

(iv) For inspection and copying as under Rule 34, any insurance agreement under which an insurance business may be liable to satisfy all or part of a possible judgment in the action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment.

WSC is currently not aware of any insurance policies that may be liable to satisfy all or part of a possible judgment in the action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment.

DATED: December 14, 2015 PEREZ WILSON VAUGHN & FEASBY

By: /s/ John D. Vaughn

John D. Vaughn Attorneys for Windermere Real Estate Services Company John D. Vaughn Attorneys for Windermere Real Estate Services Company

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I am an attorney with the law firm of Pérez Wilson Vaughn & Feasby, whose	
3	address is 750 B Street, Suite 3300, San Diego, California 92101. I am over the age	
4	of eighteen years, and am not a party to this action.	
5	On December 14, 2015, served the following:	
6 7	1. PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERCLAIMANT WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SERVICES COMPANY'S INITIAL DISCLOSURES [F.R.C.P. 26(a)(1)]	
8	on the interested parties in this action by:	
9 10 11 12	ELECTRONIC MAIL: I caused to be transmitted via electronic means to the electronic mail address(es) noted below a true and correct copy of the aforementioned document(s) from feasby@perezwilson.com on the date ascribed below. The transmission was reported as complete without error I am aware that the form of original signature must be maintained and must be available for review and copying on the request of the court or any party to this action. by serving:	
13		
14 15 16 17 18	MULCAHY LLP James M. Mulcahy (SBN 213547) jmulcahy@mulcahyllp.com Kevin A. Adams (SBN 239171) kadams@mulcahyllp.com Douglas R. Luther (SBN 280550) dluther@mulcahyllp.com Four Park Plaza, Suite 1230 Irvine, California 92614	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants
19 20	XX (FEDERAL): I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United State of America that I am a member of the Bar of this Court.	
21	Executed at San Diego, California on December 14, 2015.	
22		/s/Jeffrev A. Feasby
23		Jeffrey A. Feasby
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		